

CS DJ 1015/2022
Anand Prasad Vs. Krishna Prasad

12.12.2024

Present: Plaintiff with LAC Sh. Anil Kumar Sharma.

**Defendant no.1 & 2 are already proceeded against
ex-parte vide order dated 01.11.2023.**

It is submitted by counsel for plaintiff that he has already filed an application U/O.6 R.17 CPC.

Arguments heard on the aforesaid application. Record perused.

It is submitted by counsel for plaintiff that the plaintiff has filed present suit with respect to suit property consisting of one room on first floor of property situated at plot bearing no.33, land measuring 50 Sq. yards (15'X30') out of Khasra No.523-517, situated in the area of Molar Band and abadi known as Sourabh Vihar (now known as 493, Block-O, Sourabh Vihar, Jaitpur, New Delhi), but due to inadvertence, the suit property could not be properly mentioned and explained in para no. 6,7,8,9,11 and prayer clause of the plaint and therefore, present application U/O.6 R.17 CPC has been filed for amendment in aforesaid paragraphs for bringing clarity about the suit property. He has further submitted that apart from the amendments

in the aforesaid paragraphs, the plaintiff wants to add paragraph No.12A in the plaint regarding previous litigations pending between the parties.

The application has gone unchallenged and unopposed as the defendants are ex-parte in the present case. Moreover, the amendments sought for will not change the nature of the case. Therefore, in these scenario, **the application is allowed**. Amended plaint is already filed on record.

Adjournment is sought by counsel for plaintiff for leading PE in view of amended plaint filed by plaintiff. In the interest of justice, adjournment is granted.

Put up on **07.04.2025** for PE.

(Navita Kumari Bagha)
DJ-02 (South-East), Saket Court
New Delhi/12.12.2024 (Duli Chand)