

CR No. 615/2025

Prakash Sharma Vs. State & Ors

23.01.2026

Present : Mr. Vikas Tomar, counsel for the revisionist.
Mr. Inder Kumar, substitute Addl. Public Prosecutor
for the State/respondent no.1.
Mr. Anil Basoya, Mr. Rohit Lohia and Mr. Govind
Sharma, counsel for respondent no.2 to 4.
Respondent no.5 has not been properly served.

1. The TCR has been received and has been put up.
2. The counsel for the respondents no.2 to 4 has filed the memo of appearance on their behalf and has requested time for filing POA on their behalf as the same has yet not been received.
3. It is submitted by both the counsel that the revisionist and respondent no.2 to 4 are willing to explore the possibility of settlement and adjournment for the said purpose is requested.
4. Considered.
5. Notice of the revision petition be issued to the respondent no.5 afresh by all permissible modes on taking of immediate steps for the next date of hearing.
6. **Matter be listed for filing of POA on behalf of respondent no.2 to 4, filing of reply, if any, on behalf of respondent no.2 to 4 (copy be supplied to counsel for the revisionist in advance), service of respondent no.5, appearance of revisionist and respondent no.2 to 4 along with their respective counsel for**

//2//

settlement, if any, in the alternative for consideration of the revision petition on 12.02.2026.

(NIVEDITA ANIL SHARMA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
South East District, Saket Courts,
New Delhi. 23.01.2026 (PB)