

Item No. 2

CS DJ 3396/24

DINESH BIDHURI AND ANR. Vs. SUSHILA GUPTA AND ORS.

10.07.2025

Present: Sh. Amit Kumar Dubey, learned counsel for both the plaintiffs.
Sh. Abhishek Rai, learned counsel for defendant no.6.
Defendant no.1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 are unserved so far.
Sh. Sumit Kumar Gupta (through video conferencing), learned counsel for applicant/proposed defendant Smt. Sushila Gupta.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

One application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC seeking deletion of names of defendant no.2 to 5 from the array of parties is filed by the plaintiff.

It is submitted that defendant no.2 to 5 are not necessary parties to the suit.

Learned counsel for defendant no.6 and proposed defendant raises no objection in this regard.

Accordingly, the aforesaid application is allowed. The names of defendant no.2 to 5 are deleted from the array of parties.

Another application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC and proposed Amended Plaintiff have also been filed on behalf of the plaintiff. Copy is supplied.

Earlier one application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC was also filed on behalf of applicant Smt. Sushila Gupta for seeking impleadment in the present suit.

It is submitted by learned counsel for plaintiff that in the proposed Amended Plaintiff, he has mentioned the correct particulars of defendant no.1 Smt. Sushila Gupta.

In view of the submissions, application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC moved by Smt. Sushila Gupta and application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC of the plaintiff stand allowed.

The proposed Amended Plaintiff is taken on record.

Both the defendants may file Written Statement within stipulated time with advance copy to the opposite side.

List the matter for further proceedings on **16.09.2025**.

[Kuldeep Narayan]
DJ-02, South-East,
Saket Court, New Delhi
10.07.2025/sk