

Bail Matter 2146/2026
FIR No. 285/2023
PS KALINDI KUNJ
U/s. 364/302/201/34 IPC
STATE Vs. AMARPAL

20.07.2026

This is an application filed U/s. 483 BNSS on behalf of applicant/accused Amarpal for grant of bail.

Present: Mr. Lallan Kumar Singh, Ld. Addl. PP (Sub.) for State.
Mr. Rizwan Ali, Ld. LAC for applicant/accused.

Reply to bail application received from IO Inspector Amit Kumar, PS Kalindi Kunj. Be taken on record.

Reply and case file are perused.

Arguments heard on bail application from both sides.

1. Applicant/accused Amarpal has sought bail on ground of long custody period of around 03 years and on principle of parity with co-accused Praveen @ Pappu @ Parveen, who has already been granted bail by this Court through order dated 02/06/2026. Ld. LAC for applicant/accused argued that the role of applicant/accused is similar to the co-accused who has already been granted bail by this Court. Ld. LAC argued that deposition of PW1/complainant Anil as well as eye-witnesses i.e. PW2 Pawan and PW3 Shahjad has already been recorded and the trial of the case shall take time to complete. It is argued that applicant/accused Amarpal has been in JC since 15/06/2023 and he may not be further detained in custody as a punishment during trial. It is submitted that the applicant/accused will maintain good and peaceful behaviour if granted bail.

Contd..2.

2. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP, has strongly opposed the bail application with submission that the applicant accused is facing very grave allegations of abduction of deceased Gabbar alongwith co-accused and, thereafter, they murdered him. The eye-witnesses i.e. PW2 and PW3, who were TSR/auto drivers, have identified the applicant/accused and co-accused as the offenders, in whose company, they had last seen the deceased victim. It is submitted that the cross-examination of PW1/complainant is pending as he is untraceable after completion of his examination-in-chief. Ld. Addl. PP submitted that if granted, the applicant/accused may misuse the bail.

3. Ld. LAC for applicant/accused submitted that the mental health of applicant/accused is also adversely affected because of long custody period, whereas, completion of trial will take time to complete. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submitted that the applicant/accused shall not misuse the liberty if granted bail. No previous involvement has been alleged against the applicant/accused.

4. Considering the long period of around 03 years spent by applicant/accused in judicial custody, absence of prior criminal involvement, submission of applicant/accused that he will maintain good and peaceful behaviour if granted bail, the fact that the trial will take time to complete and on principle of parity with co-accused who has already been granted bail, the application is allowed.

Contd..3.

Bail Matter 2146/2026

--3--

FIR No. 285/2023

PS KALINDI KUNJ

U/s. 364/302/201/34 IPC

STATE Vs. AMARPAL

5. Applicant/accused Amarpal is admitted to bail subject to furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to condition that he will maintain good and peaceful behaviour and will not attempt to contact, influence or harm the witnesses in any manner. Any fresh involvement in a criminal case shall entail reconsideration of bail granted to him.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent, for information.

Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. LADC for applicant/accused.

(VISHAL SINGH)

ASJ-05, South-East District

Saket Courts, New Delhi:20.07.2026