

19

CS DJ 1680/17

NIRAJ TIWARI Vs. M/S IMPERIA STRUCTURES LTD

11.01.2022

Matter taken up through Cisco Webex

Present: Rupesh Kumar , Counsel for plaintiff
Rudrakshi Deo, Counsel for defendant

Counsel for plaintiff submitted that his application under Order XII R 6 CPC is also pending, reply to which has not been filed by the defendant. Counsel for defendant has sought some more time to file the reply. Counsel for the plaintiff has vehemently opposed the request made submitting that copy was supplied long back and that he can file proof of service. He also submitted that cost of Rs. 6000/- was also imposed upon counsel for defendant which has not been paid.

Last and final opportunity granted to counsel for respondent within 2 weeks, else the opportunity to file the reply to application shall be deemed to be closed without any further directions in this respect. Copy of reply be also supplied to counsel for plaintiff.

Put up for arguments on application under Order XII R 6 CPC on 18.05.2022.

At this stage, Counsel for plaintiff submits that he seeks disposal of this application. It was responded that the application will be taken up and disposed of in due course. He also pressed upon payment of cost right away. Considering that the physical functioning of the court has been suspended, it would not be practically possible to direct any immediate payment of the cost.

(Shelly Arora)

ADJ-1 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/11.01.2022(sm)

At this stage, after 2-3 successive matters were called, counsel for plaintiff interjected and stated that he seeks transfer of this matter. Note of his submission has simply been taken.

(Shelly Arora)

ADJ-1 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/11.01.2022(sm)