

4 MACT No. 712/25  
AJEET SINGH (DAR) Vs. HINAN MANZOOR BHAT  
FIR No. 45/25 DC

13.03.2026

Present: None for petitioner.  
Sh. Wasim Waqar, Ld.counsel for ins.co. (through VC).  
None for other respondents.

Legal offer stood filed by the ins.co. Despite issuance of notice, no report has been received either from the Incharge V-B or from DCP office.

Let Incharge V-B and Dealing Inspector/Incharge Legal Cell of DCP office be summoned to explain non filing of report.

In the meantime, fresh **notice** of the DAR be issued to the claimant afresh through SHO concerned as well as through DCP, returnable on **16.03.2026** with connected case titled as Anoop Kumar vs.Hinan Manzoor Bhat.

**(Charu Gupta)**  
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)  
Saket Court/New Delhi/13.03.2026

At this stage, at 02:30 p.m, Ahlmad has placed report qua service of notice on petitioner. It is stated that inadvertently, the same could not be placed with file in the morning.

Report has been perused. As per the report, petitioner, HC Ajeet was contacted through his mobile phone wherein he stated to be on medical rest for 30 days w.e.f. 28.02.2026. It is seen that petitioner has not been appearing in

the present case since 30.07.2025. Despite service of previous notice on him also, he informed to be on leave and did not appear.

It is pertinent to note that the present claim is qua simple injury and the presence of petitioner was sought in his personal capacity as a victim and not as an official, for which he could seek exemption on account of leave from his office. His continuous at absence despite repeated notices indicates that petitioner is not interested in pursuing the claim.

As such, the case stands dismissed in default for non prosecution.

File be consigned to the record room.

**(Charu Gupta)**

Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)  
Saket Court/New Delhi/13.03.2026