

SC 11360/2023
STATE Vs. SHARIF ETC.
FIR No 168 /2023
PS (SHAHEEN BAGH)
19.09.2023

Present: Sh. Wasi-Ur- Rahman, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Both accused produced from JC.
Sh. Mohd Musabbir Ansari and Akbar Taj, Ld.
Counsel for accused Sharif.
Sh. Aditya Aggarwal and Sh. Naveen Panwar,Ld.
Counsel for accused Nasir.

Today, the matter was listed for hearing arguments on point of charge. However, FSL result has not been filed by the IO. Hence, arguments on charge could not be heard.

Under these circumstances, **let notice be issued to concerned IO to expedite the filing of FSL result by getting priority letter issued through DCP concerned.**

Further, at this juncture, Ld. Counsel for accused submitted that the accused Nasir was granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 15.09.2023 and pursuant to which the bail bonds were furnished before this Court and release warrants were sent by this Court on 18.09.2023.

However, Ld. Counsel for accused submitted that despite sending of release warrants by this Court, the accused has not been released from prison.

Under these circumstances, **let notice be issued to Jail**

Superintendent concerned to apprise this Court as to why the accused Nasir was not released from prisons, as per rules.

Put up for filing of report from concerned Jail Superintendent on 20.09.2023.

(ARUL VARMA)

ASJ-04 + Spl. Judge (NDPS) South East District,
Saket Court, New Delhi: 19.09.2023