

CS DJ 11327/16  
HUKAM CHAND  
Vs.  
SAROJ RANI

**27.09.2022**

Present : Sh. Rajesh Kumar, LR of plaintiff with Ld. Counsel.  
Sh. Vipin Adv., Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 6.

**Defendant no.7 is already ex-parte.**

Vakalatnama is filed by Ld. Counsel for defendants.

Affidavit of DW Vinod Kumar is filed. Copy supplied today  
itself.

Ld. Counsel for defendant submits that DW1 Smt. Saroj Rani though partly cross examined but since she is not well and unable to depose any further, therefore, Ld. Counsel for defendant drops her as a witness.

Perusal of affidavit of DW1 Vinod Kumar reflects that it contains legal pleadings. Therefore, affidavit of witness is rejected.

Fresh affidavit of the witness be filed within a period of one week with advance copy being supplied to the opposite counsel. Defendant to also pay cost of Rs.3,000/- to the plaintiff for the delay caused. In case the advance copy of the affidavit is not supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff within a period of two weeks from today, the right of the defendant to examine any witness shall be liable to be closed.

Put up for D.E. on **15.12.2022.**

**(Munish Markan)**  
ADJ-02/(SE), District Courts, Saket,  
New Delhi/27.09.2022