

25 CS DJ 3090/24

SH. VINOD KUMAR BANSAL Vs. SH. SURENDER KUMAR
TANGRI

17.04.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak Kunwar, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Badre Alam, Ld. Counsel for defendant along
with defendant.

1. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that cost was imposed on defendant vide order dated 11.12.2025.
2. Ld. Counsel for defendant has prayed for waiver of cost on the ground that cost was imposed for addressing arguments on application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC. However, the defendant withdrew the said application. As such, there is no occasion of cost and the same be waived.
3. In view of submissions and the fact that the defendant chose to withdraw the application, cost imposed on defendant vide order dated 11.12.2025 is waived.
4. On LDOH, defendant was summoned with respect to application under Order XXXIX Rule 1& 2 CPC filed by plaintiff.
5. Today, defendant has appeared and examined under Order X CPC. As per his statement, he has sold the suit property in March 2025.
6. Let sale documents by which the suit property has been sold be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.
7. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted in view of the above statement and after receipt of the sale documents, he will

move appropriate application. Let the same be filed as per law with advance copy to opposite side.

8. Another application under Sections 67 & 68 of BSA read with Order XXVI Rule 10A CPC has been filed by defendant. Copy supplied. Reply, if any be filed on or before NDOH with advance copy to opposite side.

9. Ld. Counsel for defendant has submitted that the said application be kept pending as he will press the application at appropriate stage.

10. List **for 04.06.2026.**

(Rahul Bhatia)
DJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/17.04.2026 (vg)