Bail No.1673/2026
FIR No.55/2026
PS Shaheen Bagh
U/s 318(4)/319(2)/3(5) of BNS
State Vs. Gopal Sharma S/o Banbari Lal

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. L.D. Singh Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. Shubham Shandilya, Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant.
IO/SI Neeraj Sharma in person.

Today, matter is fixed for consideration on regular bail application under Section 483 BNS for seeking bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

Reply filed by the IO. Same is taken on record.

Arguments on bail application heard.

## ORDER ON BAIL APPLICATION

1. The FIR in the present matter was registered on 05.02.2026 on the complaint of one complainant Mohd. Naved.

## BRIEF FACTS

2. The brief facts of the case as per reply of the IO are that the present case was registered on 05.02.2026 on the complaint of Shri Mohd. Naved. In his complaint, he alleged that certain accused persons cheated him by impersonating his cousin brother through a WhatsApp phone call. The caller falsely informed him that his visa had expired and required. renewal, for which money was urgently needed. Believing the representation to be genuine, the complainant transferred money to the

accused persons. In this manner, the accused cheated the complainant of a total amount of ₹80,000/-. During investigation, the money trail of the cheated amount was established. The cheated amount was credited into Canara Bank Account No. 110278145429 in the name of co-accused Golu Goswami. Investigation revealed that the said bank account, ATM card and SIM card were transferred through various persons and ultimately came into possession of accused Gopal Sharma through co-accused Gourav Tomar. The cheated amount was subsequently withdrawn through ATM transactions and POS transactions at. K.L. Petroleum, Gwalior. Further investigation regarding the withdrawal of funds is in progress. It is further submitted that, till date, accused Sunil Kushwaha, Vijay Kushwaha, Shivam Gurjar, Gaurav Tomar and Gopal Sharma have been arrested in the present case. Co-accused Golu Goswami and Vivek Tomar have been bound down after joining the investigation. The role of other associates involved procuring bank accounts, SIM cards and withdrawing the cheated amount is still under investigation.

## INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED IN THE PRESENT MATTER

3. Investigation further revealed that co-accused Gourav Tomar was operating, WhatsApp No.8962757224 linked with Canara Bank Account No. 110278145429 in the name of Golu Goswami. Through this number, he remained in regular contact with the present accused Gopal Sharma. The chat was recovered from mobile phone seized from the possession of the accused Gopal Sharma. It was revealed that Gourav Tomar procured bank accounts and SIM cards from various

persons and supplied the same to accused Gopal Sharma for use in cyber fraud activities.It is further submitted that the above criminal antecedents clearly establish that the accused is not a first-time offender but a habitual offender who has been continuously involved in criminal activities, including cyber fraud. His repeated involvement in similar offences demonstrates a consistent pattern of unlawful conduct and his active association with organized criminal networks.It is further submitted that analysis of the mobile phone recovered from the accused revealed the use of multiple mobile numbers registered in the names of unrelated persons, including one number registered in the name of Garima Jha and another in the name of Aneesh. The use of SIM cards obtained in the names of third parties clearly indicates deliberate concealment of identity and reflects the modus operandi adopted by the accused to evade detection by law enforcement agencies. During interrogation and technical analysis, it was revealed that accused Gopal Sharma was in regular contact with several persons involved in cyber fraud activities. Investigation further revealed communication with a foreign mobile number bearing country code +92, namely +92-3038822066. The accused facilitated the transfer of fraud proceeds to bank accounts provided by the operator of the said foreign number. The exact role of the foreign associates and the extent of the international cyber fraud network are still under investigation.

4. It is further submitted that the accused acted as a key facilitator between the foreign operators of the cyber fraud network operating from mobile no. +92-3038822066 and the persons providing bank accounts,

ATM cards and SIM cards. The ATM cards, SIM cards, bank account credentials and other digital evidence used in the commission of the offence are yet to be recovered from the accused and his associates. Therefore, further custodial interrogation of the accused is necessary for effecting recoveries and unearthing the complete conspiracy.

5. It is further submitted that the investigation is still at a crucial stage. The role of other members of the syndicate, the source of the mule bank accounts and SIM cards, the complete money trail of the cheated amount and the involvement of foreign-based, associates are yet to be fully unearthed.

**ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF STATE**

6. It has been argued by Ld. Addl. PP for State that there are specific allegations against the accused/applicant and that the investigation in the present matter is at nascent stage and bail application of the accused / applicant is liable to be dismissed.

**ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED.**

7. On the other hand, it has been argued by Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant that the allegations against the accused are false and fabricated and he has been wrongly arrested in the present matter. That he is in JC since 05.06.2026 and no custodial investigation is required and he be granted bail.

**COURT OBSERVATION**

8. I have heard Ld. Counsel for accused, seen the reply filed on behalf of IO. The allegations in the present matter are direct and specific against the accused/applicant. The investigation in the present matter is at nascent stage. Further, co accused in the present matter are still absconding. Chargesheet in the present matter has not been filed. **I do not find any merit in the arguments on behalf of accused/applicant and therefore, no ground for bail is made out. Accordingly, the bail application of applicant/accused Gopal Sharma stands dismissed.**

***Order Dasti.***

[Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan]
ASJ-02, South-East/Saket/Delhi
09.06.2026 (ag)