

Bail No.1635/2026
FIR No.262/2026
PS Sarita Vihar
State Vs. Vivek Kumar S/o Dilip Singh
U/s 318(4)/3(5) BNS

06.06.2026

Present : Sh. L.D. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant.
IO/SI Satish Bhati in person.

Today, matter is fixed for consideration on regular bail application u/s 483 BNS application moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same is taken on record. Copy supplied.

Arguments on bail application heard.

ORDER ON BAIL APPLICATION

1. The FIR in the present matter was registered on 06.06.2026. It has been alleged by the complainant Ms.Sonia that through online transaction a financial fraud of Rs.40000/- has been committed towards her.
2. IO has stated that upon the aforesaid complainant, FIR was registered. Subsequently the investigation revealed that the cheated amount was credited to the bank account of CCL RK. CCL was apprehended who disclosed that he has provided his bank account and ATM card to accused Ashu S/o Dilip Singh and Vivek S/o Dilip Singh for monetary transaction. Subsequently, both accused persons were apprehended and their mobile phones were seized. Digital evidence, bank transaction details and technical evidence are yet to be collected. Aforesaid both accused also disclosed that the amount withdrawn was

handed over by them to co-accused Vipin Yadav after retaining their commission.

3. Co-accused Vipin Yadav is yet to be apprehended who is the master mind and beneficiary of the entire transaction. Further, investigation is at nascent stage.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF STATE

4. It has been argued by Ld. Addl. PP for State that there are specific allegations against the accused/applicants and that the investigation in the present matter is at nascent stage and bail application of the accused / applicant is liable to be dismissed.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED/APPLICANT

5. On the other hand, it has been argued by Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant that the allegations against the accused are false and fabricated. It has been argued that the FIR has been registered upon the complaint of complainant. Further, that the cheated amount of Rs.40000 has already been returned to the complainant in the present matter before the Ld. Trial Court on 05.06.2026. it is further argued that the accused/applicant shall not commit the offence in future, that they are of young age and have to appear in a competitive examination and therefore, be granted bail.

COURT OBSERVATION

6. I have heard Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant, seen the reply filed on behalf of IO. The allegations in the present matter are pertaining to online fraud. The investigation qua accused/applicant has already been completed. The fact that the other accused/applicant who is accused Vipin Yadav is absconding, the same cannot take away right

of the accused/applicant to be enlarged on bail as he had already cooperated in the investigation of present matter. Further the accused/applicant has been in JC since 04.06.2026 and has already returned the cheated amount. Further, the accused is of young age and does not have previous criminal antecedents.

7. In view of the aforesaid accused/applicant Vivek Kumar S/o Dilip Singh is granted bail subject to furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of like amount before Ld. MM/JFMC/concerned Court/Duty MM.

The bail is also subjected to following conditions :-

1. Accused will not threaten complainant and other prosecution witnesses.
2. Accused will not tamper with evidence collected by police.
3. Accused will not repeat the offence in future.
4. Accused will keep his mobile phone in switch on mode till the adjudication of the case and will furnish it in the personal bond. Similarly, surety will also keep his mobile phone in switch on mode till the adjudication of the case.
5. Accused shall join investigation as and when called upon by the IO.

Order Dasti.

[Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan]
ASJ-02, South-East/Saket/Delhi
06.06.2026 (ag)