

06.06.2026

Present : Sh. L.D. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. Om Singh and Sh. Adarsh Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for
accused/applicant.
IO HC Satyapal absent.

Today the matter is fixed for consideration on application u/s
483 BNS for seeking regular bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

Reply filed. Same is taken on record. Copy supplied.
Arguments heard.

**During the course of arguments TCR has been called to
perused the same.**

BRIEF FACTS

1. The present FIR was registered on 11.06.2013, thereafter,
accused was summoned and notice u/s 251 was framed against him on
22.09.2014. Thereafter, accused faced trial. However, accused stopped
appearing before Ld. Trial Court and thereafter, on 15.05.2026 he was
declared proclaimed offender. On 17.05.2026 accused/applicant was
apprehended and since then he is in JC.

INVESTIGATION BY THE IO

2. IO has stated that the accused/applicant was apprehended in
kalandra DD No.62A PS Malviya Nagar, and thereafter, was produced
before the trial court. Further, the accused/applicant does not have previous
criminal antecedent.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED

3. It has been argued by Ld. Counsel for accused that accused be granted bail as he had not evaded the process of law. It has further been argued that due to inadvertence accused could not appear before trial court and was declared PO on 15.05.2026, and thereafter, arrested on 17.05.2026. Further, the accused/applicant shall not repeat the offence in future and shall appear diligently before the Ld. Trial Court. It has further been argued that the accused is illiterate and shall abide by all conditions of bail.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF STATE

4. On the other hand, it has been argued by Ld. Addl. PP for the State that there are specific allegations against accused/applicant of committing the offence in the present matter and he purposely stopped appearing before Ld. Trial Court with intention to delay the trial and therefore, he should not be granted bail.

5. Submissions heard. Application perused.

COURT OBSERVATION

6. This is the bail application of accused/ applicant. Accused/applicant is in JC since 17.05.2026. Further the chargesheet in the present matter has already been filed. Accused/applicant does not have previous involvement and is a first time offender. Considering the aforesaid factual matrix and nature of offence, the period of detention of applicant/ accused for the alleged offence and also other circumstances of this case, no purpose will be served by keeping applicant/accused in custody. **In these circumstances, applicant/ accused Sanjeev Kumar S/o Sh. Vijay Kumar Jha, is admitted to bail on his furnishing personal bond and surety bond in the sum of**

Rs.10,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of concerned Court/ Ld. Link JMFC/ Ld. Duty JMFC.

The bail is also subjected to following conditions :-

1. Accused will not threaten complainant and other prosecution witnesses.
2. Accused will not tamper with evidence collected by police.
3. Accused will not repeat the offence in future.
4. Accused will keep his mobile phone in switch on mode till the adjudication of the case and will furnish it in the personal bond. Similarly, surety will also keep his mobile phone in switch on mode till the adjudication of the case.

TCR be sent back to Ld. Trial Court today itself.

Order Dasti.

[Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan]
ASJ-02, South-East/Saket/Delhi
06.06.2026 (vk)