

Bail No.1555/2026
FIR No.289/2026
U/s 126(2)/118(2)/3(5) BNS
PS OIA
State vs. Ajay @ Bonda

03.06.2026

Present : Sh. L.D. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. B.S. Randhawa, Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant.
IO/HC Mukesh in person.

Today the matter is fixed for consideration on second regular bail application u/s 483 BNS moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

Arguments on bail application heard.

BRIEF FACTS

1. The present FIR was registered on 24.05.2026 wherein it has been alleged by complainant Neeraj Kumar that on 23.05.2026 he was returning home after work and when he reached near Geeta Park Okhla Phase I, he met his friend namely Vidyanand and Amrit Kumar. Thereafter, all three of them was standing near the park, and during that time the boys who was known to him came whose name were Aditya @ Pettu S/o Manto Kumar accused/applicant Ajay @ Bonda and Prince @ Laturi. Thereafter, all of them started abusing the complainant and thereafter accused/applicant Ajay @ Bonda and Prince @ Laturi held the complainant by his hands and accused Aditya @ Pettu stabbed him in his stomach and all the accused persons ran away from the spot. Thereafter, Deepak who was the brother of the complainant took the complainant at Safdarjung Hospital. Upon the aforesaid complaint FIR was registered on 24.05.2026.

INVESTIGATION BY THE IO

2. Upon the aforesaid complaint, investigation ensued and MLC of injured was collected and accused Ajay @ Bonda and Aditya @ Pettu were arrested and one CCL P @ P @ L was also arrested. The knife used in the incident was recovered from the possession of CCL which was handed over to him by accused Aditya @ Pettu.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED

3. It has been argued by Ld. Counsel for accused that accused be granted bail as he had not committed the offence. It has further been argued that the accused/ applicant is of young age and is a sole bread earner of his family. It has further been argued that accused/applicant does not have any previous criminal involvement, and that the injuries suffered by the complainant are simple in nature and there is delay of one day in registration of FIR in the present matter, and therefore, accused/applicant be granted bail.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF STATE

4. On the other hand, it has been argued by Ld. Addl. PP for the State that there are specific allegations against accused/applicant who alongwith other co-accused persons had committed the offence with the complainant. Further the investigation is at nascent stage, and therefore, accused/ applicant should not be granted bail.

5. Submissions heard. Application perused.

COURT OBSERVATION

6. This is the bail application of accused/ applicant Ajay @ Bonda. Upon the aforesaid FIR accused/applicant has been arrested only on

17.06.2025. Investigation is at nascent stage and even the chargesheet in the present matter have yet not been filed. Further, the complainant and the accused persons are known to each other and there is all possibility of accused/applicant influencing the complainant if enlarged on bail. Further, the nature of injury is grievous and therefore, no ground of bail is made out.

7. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the bail application of accused/applicant Ajay @ Bonda S/o Elan Singh stands dismissed.

Application disposed off accordingly.

Order dasti.

[Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan]
ASJ-02, South-East/Saket/Delhi
03.06.2026 ^(vk)