

Misc.DJ:1232/23
Anshul Yadav v. Braj Mohan

13.03.2026

Present: Sh. Shekhar Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Shail Kaushal, Ld. Counsel for R-1&R-2 (through VC)
R-2 is UPSRTC.

An application was moved by the respondents seeking dismissal of the petition on the ground of want of territorial jurisdiction. It is argued that the accident has occurred in the vicinity of Sec-135, NOIDA PS Meerut Expressway i.e. beyond the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. It is further submitted that the offending vehicle was a bus of UPSRTC which was uninsured. As such, Respondents have sought dismissal/return of the claim for lack of jurisdiction.

On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for petitioner by filing reply, submits that the petitioner is a minor, represented through his father/legal guardian. It is submitted that father of petitioner was working with one Sh.Mansaram and alongwith minor petitioner, was residing at Badarpur. It is further submitted that Mansaram is also a claimant in connected petition titled as Mansaram v. Braj Mohan. It is further pleaded that UPSRTC also operates from ISBT Sarai Kale Khan, Delhi and as such, claim is maintainable in Delhi.

Perusal of record shows that no proof of residence or working at Badarpur has been filed by petitioner or his legal guardian. In absence of such proof, even assuming that UPSRTC operates from Sarai Kale Khan, present claim would be beyond jurisdiction of this Tribunal. As such, time is granted to petitioner to file the same. Application is kept for consideration till then.

Parties wish to settle the matter amicably.

Parties are referred to Mediation Cell for exploring the

settlement on **20.03.2026 at 2 pm.**

In case, mediation fails, parties to appear for filing proof of address/further arguments, if any, on the application on **21.08.2026.**

(Charu Gupta)
PO-MACT -01 (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi/13.03.2026