

FIR No.86/2024
State Vs. Gopal & Ors.
PS Badarpur
U/s 394/397/411/34 IPC

26.07.2024

Present : Sh. L.D. Singh, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for State.
Accused Gopal and Ankit Verma produced from JC
(in JC since 24.02.2024).
Accused Yash Kumar @ Azad and Aman in person.
Sh. Shubham, Ld. Counsel for accused Aman.
Sh. Praveen Kumar & Ms. Sharda Singh, Ld. Counsels for
accused Gopal.
Sh. Durga Prasad, Ld. Counsel for accused Ankit Verma.
Ms. Fauzia, Ld. Counsel for accused Yash Kumar.

Today, the matter is fixed for arguments on charge.

Arguments on charge heard.

In view of submissions made by Ld. Counsel for accused and
Ld. Addl. PP for the state, prima facie, I find that offence punishable u/sec.
394/34 IPC, is made out against all accused persons. Further, the offence
punishable u/sec. 397 IPC, is also made out against accused Gopal. Further,
the offence punishable u/sec. 411 IPC, is also made out against accused Yash
Kumar @ Azad and Aman. Further, the offence punishable u/sec. 25 Arms
Act, is made out against accused Ankit Verma.

Hence, charge punishable under aforesaid offences sections, is
framed against the accused persons to which they pleaded not guilty and
claimed trial.

Let, witnesses mentioned at sl. no. 1 and 2, as per list of
witnesses, be summoned for the next date of hearing.

Put up for PE on 10.09.2024.

[Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan]
ASJ-02, South-East/Saket/Delhi
26.07.2024