

APEG030062032026



**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL CIVIL JUDGE(JUNIOR DIVISION)-CUM-JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE OF I CLASS: RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM.**

**PRESENT:SMT.N.REDDY PRASANNA
PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE(JUNIOR DIVISION)-CUM-JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
OF I CLASS:
RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM.**

WEDNESDAY, THIS THE 05th DAY OF August, 2026.

C.C.No.871/2026

Between:

The State represented by Inspector of Police, Rajanagaram Police Station.

..... Complainant

And

A1 Mitthani Sai Kumar @ Sandy, S/o Suribabu, Age 27 years, C/Reddy, D.No.4-21-12, Vinayaka street, Opp Railway Station, Alcot Gardens, Rajamahendravaram.

A2 Kattamuri Yaswanth Veera Naga Surya Sri Satya Sai, S/o Kameswararao, Aged 22 years, D.no.5-81/1, Vemagiri Village, Kadiyam Mandal, R/o Near FCI Godown, Srirama Vaddera Colony, Dowleswaram.

A3 Durgada Pandu @ Mahesh, S/o Narayanarao, Aged 20 years, D.No. 90-4-25-1A, Nursery Street, Swaraj Nagar, Near Sambunagar, Rajamahendravaram.

A4 Dommeti Jagadeesh, S/o Sathibabu, Aged 23 years, D.No.2-46-45, Ambica Nagar, Near Sambunagar, Rajamahendravaram. Now at near Raithu Bazar, ILTD, Rajamahendrvaram.

A5 Kovvuri Mohan Vinay Kumar Reddy, S/o Naga Venkata Krishna Reddy, Aged 24 years, D.No.4-203, Andhra Bank Colony, Near FCI Godown, Dowleswaram, Rajamahendravaram.

A6 Katta Durga Sai Charan, S/o Ganesh, Aged 20 years, D.No.2-17-38, Kondavari Street, Alcot Garden, Rajamahendravaram.

..... Accused

This calendar case is coming on this day before me for final hearing on 17.07.2026 in the presence of Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for

Complainant/State, and of ***Sri.Desiboyina Harsha Sri Veeranna***, Advocate for the A.2 to A.6, upon hearing both sides, upon perusing the entire material on record and having stood over for consideration to this day, this court delivered the following:

:: J U D G M E N T ::

The state represented by the Inspector of Police, Rajanagaram Police Station, filed a charge sheet against the A.1 to A.6 in crime No.402/2024 for the offence punishable U/sec.8(c) R/w 20 (b)(ii)(A) and Sec.27(a)/(consuming) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

2. The case of the prosecution is as follows:

On 01.10.2024 at about 2.00 pm., PW.8 received a credible information about the possession of contraband of Ganja and immediately, he communicated the information to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, North Zone, East Godavari District, Rajamahendravaram. He along with PW.1, LW.1/P.Ammiraju, LW.2/V.Nagendra Nathu, LW.3/Pawan kumar, LW.4/G.Manikanta and LW.5/M.Ramesh conducted raid in presence of mediators and caught hold of six persons of (three persons are sellers and three persons are consumers) , who were in the possession and consuming the contraband of ganja at scene of offence i.e., Rakhi avenues, Chandrika, Avantika Apartments, Chakradwarabandam Village, Rajanagaram Mandal,

2a. PW.2 who is the Deputy Tahsildar, Rajanagaram rushed to the scene of offence and enquired with accused. On such enquiry, accused produced ganja. The confessional statement of accused duly recorded by the mediator.

2b. Then A.1 to A.3 confessed that they went to Rampachodavaram and brought about 800 grams of ganja from an unknown person in a village and they sell the same at a rate of Rs.300/- to A.4 to A.6 who were present in their room. A4 to A6 also confessed that they purchased ganja from A1 to A3 and put the cannabis in the cigarettes with a view to consume it.

2c. After taken the confessions of the accused, PW.2 seized the contraband Ganja weighing about 835 grams weighed by PW.6 in the presence of PW.3 and LW.14/K.Venkata Ramana.

2d. Then, PW.8 took the custody of A.1 to A.6 at 5.45 pm., on 01.10.2024 at Room no.803, 3rd floor, Yamuna Block, Rakhi Avenues (Chandrika Avanthika) Chakradwarabandam Village, Rajangaram Mandal. At the time of raid, he instructed PW.5 to capture photos through his camera from different angles and thereafter, he seized 1.Ganja 835 grams (worth Rs.4175/-) which was packed in a polythene cover, cell phones -04, I phone-13 from A.2, I phone-15 from A.4, Iphone X from A.5, Realme from A.6 under the cover of mediator report. Later, he brought the accused persons along with seized property to Rajangaran P.S., and registered as FIR in Cr.No.402/2024 U/sec.8(c) r/w 20(b) (ii) (A), 27(A) of the NDPS Act 1985 of Rajangaram P.S. on 01.10.2024 at 18.30 hours and he submitted the original FIR along with original mediator report to the Hon'ble Court, Rajamahendravaram.

2e. PW.8 has visited the scene of offence and secured PW.7, LW.11/B.V.K.Durga Rao, LW.12/K.Manohar Babu and PW.6/weighing person and examined them and recorded their statements at the scene of offence. The confessions made by A.1 to A.3 and subsequent seizures made thereupon from their possessions, clearly established a prima facie case against the accused for the offence u/sec.8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(A) of the NDPS Act against A.1 to A.3. The confessions made by A.4 to A.6 and subsequent seizures made thereupon from their possessions clearly established a prima facie case against the accused for the offence u/sec.27(a) of the NDPS Act against A4 to A.6.

2f. Hence, on 01.10.2024, PW.8 issued notices to A.4 to A.6 u/sec. 35-A(1) BNSS requiring to show cause as why they shall not be arrested and also offered option to them whether they are willing to arrest by police or appear before the Hon'ble Court, Then the accused gave reply stating that they would appear before the Hon'ble Court as and when required and ordered. Later on 02.10.2024, after

completion of the other legal formalities PW.8 forwarded to the arrested A.1, A.2 and A.3 to the Hon'ble PJ CJ cum FJCM, Court, Rajamahendravaram for judicial remand.

2g. Later on 17.10.2024, PW.8 has filed inventory application in the Hon'ble PJ CJ cum JFCM Court, Rajamahendravaram for inventory. Later on 01.02.2025 LW.16/The Hon'ble PJ CJ cum JFC Magistrate, Rajamahendravaram has conducted inventory process at court hall in the presence of PW.4, PW.5 and PW.4 has drawn two samples (each 30 grams) of ganja from the seized contraband ganja (835 grams of one polythene cover). The inventory process was photographed by PW.5. Samples of the seized ganja were drawn by PW.4 in accordance with procedure. The seized samples were later forwarded by PW.8 to the chemical examiner, prohibition and excise department, Kakinada for chemical analysis.

2h. On 06.03.2026, PW.8 obtained the laboratory analysis report vide C.E.No.2235/2025 dated 12.12.2025 of Ganja samples from Government Chemical examiner of Proh. & Excise Laboratory, Kakinada and they analyzed and distilled the sample of ganja and opined that the sample of SI.No.26730 is determined as Ganja and later PW.8 filed the charge sheet.

2i. Therefore, A1 to A3 are liable for punishment for the offence punishable Under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b) (ii) (A) of the NDPS Act, 1985 and A4 to A6 are liable for the offence punishable u/Secs.27(a) of NDPS Act for their overt acts as narrated above. Hence the charge.

3. Based on the charge sheet allegations and perusal of other material available on record, then this court took cognizance of the offence U/sec.8(c) r/w 20(b) (ii) (a) and Sec. 27(a) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

4. On the appearance of the accused, copies of documents were furnished to accused as contemplated under Sec.207 of Cr.P.C.

5. The accused were examined under Sec.239 Cr.P.C. The charge for the offence U/sec.8(c) r/w 20(b) (ii) (a) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 against accused are read over and explained in Telugu. For which, they denied the same and pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried. Hence charge U/sec.8(c) r/w 20(b) (ii) (a) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 against accused is framed.

6. During the trial, on behalf of the prosecution, PW1 to PW8 were examined and got marked Exs.P1 to P8 and MO.1 to MO.5 The evidence of LW.1/P.Ammiraju, LW.2/V.Nagendranadhnadh, LW.3/Pawan Kumar, PC 569, LW.4/G.Manikanta, PC 1111 and LW.11/Bahadursha Venkata Kalyana Durgarao and LW.12/Kuppala Manohar Babu, LW.14/Kadiyapu Venkata Ramana, LW.16/Sri N.Sree Lakshmi, Hon'ble PJ CJ cum JFC Magistrate were closed by this court.

7. The accused were examined under Sec.313 Cr.P.C with regard to the incriminating material available against them in the evidence of prosecution witnesses by explaining the same in Telugu, for which they denied the same and reported that they have no defence evidence on their behalf.

8. Heard arguments of learned APP and learned counsel appearing for the accused. Perused the material on record.

9. The point for determination is:-

***“Whether the prosecution could able to bring home the guilt of the accused for the offence punishable U/sec.8(c) r/w 20(b) (ii) (a) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, against the accused.*”**

10. To prove the prosecution's case, the prosecution has examined PW1 to PW8, and Exs.P1 to P8 and MO1 to MO5 were marked.

11. As per the contents of Ex.P1 mediator report, on 01.10.2024 at about 02.30 pm, PW.8 received a credible information and secured the presence of mediators i.e., PW.3 and LW.14/K.Venkata Ramana and his staff members proceeded towards Rakhi Apartments, Chakradwarabandham village and arrested A1 to A6 and seized 835 grams of ganja and four cell phone under the cover of Ex.P1 mediator report.

12. PW1 who is the police constable has deposed that on 01.10.2024, at about 2.30 P.M, the Inspector of Police got information and on such information, the inspector of police secured mediators i.e., PW.3 and LW14/Kadiyapu Venkata Ramana and they all proceeded towards Rakhi Apartments, Chakradwarabandam village and reached Room No.803 in the third floor and they noticed six persons and on interrogating they revealed their identity particulars as their names are Sai Kumar, Yaswanth Satya Sai, Pandu, Vijay Mohana Reddy, Jagadeesh and Charan and on interrogating Sai Kumar, he confessed that he along with Yeshwanth and Pandu purchased Ganja from unknown persons and they were selling the same at their surrounding places and they noticed 835 grams of Ganja. During the raid, LW7/Santha Kumari, Deputy Tahsildar also visited Scene of offence. The inspector of police seized Ganja and four cell phones.

13. PW2 who is the Deputy Tahsildar has deposed that on 01.10.2024, at about 2.45 P.M, a constable approached her with summons and requested her to come to Rakhi Avenue, Chakradwarabandam village and on such, she proceeded to the said place and reached Room No.803 in the third floor and there she noticed six persons who are aged 25 to 30 years and she also noticed VRA and VRO. As per the directions of the C.I of police, she enquired above said persons and on enquiry, they revealed their identity particulars as their names are Mithani Sai Kumar, Satya Sai, Pandu, Jagadeesh, Vinay and Charan. Sai Kumar, Satya Sai

and Pandu confessed that they purchased 800 grams of Ganja at a village near Rampachodavaram and selling 50 grams of Ganja for Rs.300/- and they also confessed that they sold 50 grams of Ganja to Jagadeesh, Vinay and Charan each and they were consuming Ganja by putting in cigarette and on enquiry, Jagadeesh, Vinay and Charan also confessed that they came to the Scene of offence to purchase Ganja and they got cigarette and removed the stuff and insert Ganja in it and consuming the same and later they weighed the Ganja and they removed stuff in the cigarettes and inserted ganja noticed 835 grams of Ganja and the police arrested A1 to A6 and seized 835 grams of Ganja, four mobile phones and cigarette packets and a constable drafted the mediator report and the C.I of police along with her and VRO gave their signatures on the mediator report.

14. PW3 who is the VRO has deposed that on 01.10.2024, at about 2.00 P.M, he received summons from the police and on such, he along with LW14/Kadiyapu Venkata Ramana, VRA, Chakradwarabandam village went to police station and thereafter they all went towards Chandrika Apartments and reached Room No.803 in third floor and they noticed three persons who tried to escape from the place and the police caught hold that persons and interrogating and on enquiry, they revealed their identities as their names are Mithani Sai Kumar, Satya Sai, Pandu @ mahesh and they confessed that they have habit of consuming Ganja and they also supplied Ganja to their three friends and they purchased 800 grams of Ganja in a small village near Rampachodavaram and they sold 50 grams of Ganja for Rs.300/- and the said persons sold Ganja to Jagadeesh, Mohan Vinay and Sai Charan who were present in another room and they also confessed that they removed stuff in the cigarettes and kept Ganja in it and consumed and police weighed Ganja and noticed 835 grams of Ganja and on such confession, police seized two I-phones, one Realme cell phone, two cigarette packets, one match box and 835 grams of Ganja.

15. PW4 who is the Inspector of Legal Metrology, Rajamahendravaram Rural, has deposed that, on 01.02.2025, at the request of the C.I of police,

Rajangaram Police station, he attend the inventory held in the court and the Hon'ble Magistrate conducted inventory proceedings and identified 814 of Ganga and drawn two samples of 30 grams of Ganja.

16. PW5 who is the Photographer has deposed that on 01.02.2025, at the request of the C.I of police, Rajangaram Police station, he attend the inventory held in the court and the Hon'ble Magistrate conducted inventory proceedings and identified 814 of Ganga and drawn two samples of 30 grams of Ganja.

17. PW.6 who is the Kirana Shop deposed that on 01.10.2024 at about 2-30 PM, at the request of police, he went to Yamuna block, 3rd floor and plot NO.803 along with computer weighing machine and at the request of police he weighed Ganja and noticed 838 gms of ganja and he did not observed the six persons, therefore he cannot identify them and police did not examine him and record his statement.

18. PW7 who is the Supervisor of Chandrika Apartments has deposed that in the month of October, 2024, the police came to their apartments and requested him to show the way to reach the plot no.803 at Yamuna block. He took the police to the plot. They noticed six persons in that plot. On seeing accused witness deposed that they are the persons who were seen at the scene of offence but he does not know their names. The owner of the plot is residing at Bangalore and he gave rent to students. He does not know the persons to whom the plot was given for rent. He did not support the prosecution's case and turned hostile. Therefore his evidence is no way helpful to the prosecution's case.

19. PW.8 who is the Inspector of Police deposed that on 01.10.2024 at about 2.00 P.M, he received a credible information regarding illegal possession, selling and consumption of Ganja and on such, he communicated the information to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, North Zone, East Godavari District, Rajamahendravaram and later, he secured mediators ie., PW3 and

LW.14/Kadiyapu Venkata Ramana and he along with mediators and staff proceeded towards Room No.803, 3rd floor, Yamuna Block, Rakhi Avenues, Chakradwarabandam Village, Rajanagaram Mandal and they noticed six persons (three persons are Ganja sellers and three persons are consumers) who were in possession and consuming the Ganja at Scene of offence and on seeing them, they tried to escape from the place and they caught hold that persons and interrogated and on interrogating, they revealed their identities as their names are Mithani Sai Kumar, Kattamuri Yaswanth Veera Naga Surya Sri Satya Sai, Durgada Pandu, Dommeti Jagadeesh, Kovvuri Mohan Vinay Kumar Reddy and Katta Durga Sai Charan and he send a requisition to PW2/Deputy Tahsildar, Rajanagaram for recording the confessions of the accused. Then PW2 rushed to the Scene of offence and recorded the confessional statements of A1 to A3 in the presence of mediators and A1 to A3 confessed that they went to Rampachodavaram and brought about 800 grams of Ganja from unknown person in a village and sold 50 grams of Ganja for Rs.300/- and A4 to A6 confessed that they purchased 50 grams of Ganja from A1 to A3 and consuming the same.

20. He further deposed that after recording the confessional statements of accused and he arrested A1 to A6 and seized 835 grams of Ganja and four cell phones from A2 to A5 i.e., I phone 13 from A2, I phone 15 from A4, I phone X from A5, Realme from A6 under the cover of the mediator report. The Ganja was got weighed by PW6 in the presence of mediators and he got photos at the Scene of offence by PW5/Photographer and later he brought the accused along with seized property to the police station.

21. He further deposed that based on the mediator report, he registered the same as a case in Cr.No.402/2024 for the offence U/sec.8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(a), 27(a) of the NDPS Act-1985 of Rajanagaram Police Station and issued F.I.R and he took up investigation, during course of investigation, he visited scene of offence and observed the scene and prepared the rough sketch. At the scene of offences, he examined LW10/Duggirala Amarnadh, LW11/Bahadursha Venkata Kalyana

Durgarao and LW12/Kuppala Manohar Babu and recorded their statements and on 01.10.2024, he served U/sec.35-A(1) BNSS notices to A4 to A6 and on 02.10.2024 he produced A1 to A3 for judicial remand. Later on 17.10.2024 he filed a petition seeking for inspection of inventory and the inventory was conducted through the Hon'ble Magistrate and he also took photos at the time of conducting the inventory and later he forwarded the samples to the Prohibition and Excise Regional Laboratory, Kakinada for analysis along with letter of advice and after completing the entire investigation, he filed charge sheet against the accused.

22. It is the specific case of the prosecution that A1 to A6 were in illegal possession of contraband ganja and they were arrested and seized 835 grams of ganja under the cover of Ex.P1 mediator report.

23. The learned APP contended that to prove the prosecution's case, the prosecution examined PW1 to PW8 and Exs.P1 to P8 and MO1 to MO5 were marked. All the prosecution witnesses supported the prosecution's case. The prosecution established the guilt of the accused beyond all reasonable doubts. Hence, prays the court to convict the accused.

24. On the other hand, the learned counsel for accused contended that the A.1 to A.6 are no way connected to the alleged offence, but a false case was filed against them for statistical purpose only. Hence prays the court to acquit the accused.

25. In the present case, PW.2 who is the Tahsildar, PW.3 who is the mediator and PW.8 who is investigation officer categorically deposed that a constable typed the mediator report. However, the evidence of PW.2, PW.3 and PW.8 is not corroborated with the contents of Ex.P1 mediator report. From the contents of Ex.P1 mediator report, it clearly shows that the mediators drafted the mediator report. The evidence of PW.2,3 and 8 are inconsistent regarding the person who drafted the mediator report. From the inconsistent evidence of PW.2,3

and 8 it creates a doubt regarding the contents of Ex.P1 mediator report.

26. PW.5 who is the photographer categorically deposed that the police did not serve any summons to him. There is no documentary proof to show that PW.5 participated in the alleged raid and took photos. Therefore, the evidence of PW.5 is not helpful to the prosecution's case.

27. PW.6 who weighed Ganja did not support prosecution's case and he did not identified the accused. Therefore his evidence is also not helpful to the prosecution's case.

28. PW.7 who is the supervisor of Chandrika Apartments also turned hostile and did not support the prosecution's case. Therefore his evidence is not helpful to the prosecution's case.

29. In the present case, the evidence of PW.8 is not corroborated by any independent witness. Therefore the alleged seizure of ganja from possession of accused is also doubtful.

30. In the light of the above discussion, this court holds that the prosecution failed to prove the guilt against the accused for the offence U/sec.8(c) r/w 20 (b)(ii)(A) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, against the accused. Therefore the accused are entitled to acquittal.

31. **In the result**, A1 to A6 ***found not guilty*** for the offence punishable U/sec.8(c) r/w 20 (b)(ii)(A) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, and accordingly, they are ***acquitted*** u/sec.248(1) of Cr.P.C. The bail bonds of the accused shall be in force for a period of six months u/sec.437-A of Cr.P.C. The case properties i.e., MO1 two samples of 30 grams of Ganja shall be destroyed after expiry of appeal time and MO.2 I Phone 13, MO.3 I phone 15, MO.4 I Phone X, MO.5 Realme Cell Phone shall

be sold in public auction and remit the sale consideration to the state after the expiry of the appeal time.

Typed to my dictation by Stenographer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the 05th day of August, 2026.

**PRL. CIVIL JUDGE(JUNIOR DIVISION)-CUM-
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF I CLASS,
RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM.**

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE

WITNESSES EXAMINED

FOR PROSECUTION:

PW1	02.07.2026	:	G.Kishore Kumar
PW2	02.07.2026	:	B.Shantha Kumari
PW3	02.07.2026	:	K.Prasad
PW4	07.07.2026	:	S.Nagendra Kumar
PW5	09.07.2026	:	G.Murali
PW6	09.07.2026	:	U.Durga Ramesh
PW7	09.07.2026	:	D.Amarnath
PW8	17.07.2026	:	S.P.Veeraiah Goud

FOR DEFENCE:

-NONE-

EXHIBITS MARKED FOR PROSECUTION:

Ex.P1	:	Mediator Report
Ex.P2	:	Notice
Ex.P3	:	FIR
Ex.P4	:	Rough sketch
Ex.P5	:	Photos
Ex.P6	:	Inventory Proceedings
Ex.P7	:	Letter of advice
Ex.P8	:	Search Proceedings of Deputy Superintendent of Police.

EXHIBITS MARKED FOR DEFENCE: NIL

MATERIAL OBJECTS MARKED:

MO1 - Two samples of 30 grams of Ganja.

MO2 - I Phone 13

MO3 - I phone 15

MO4 - I Phone X

MO5 - Realme Cell Phone

**PRL. CIVIL JUDGE(JUNIOR DIVISION)-CUM-
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF I CLASS,
RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM.**

CALENDAR AND JUDGMENT**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. CIVIL JUDGE(JUNIOR DIVISION)-CUM-
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF I CLASS, RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM.****C.C.No.871/2026**

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 01. Date of offence | : 30.09.2024 |
| 02. Date of Complaint | : 30.09.2024 |
| 03. Date of Apprehension of accused | : 02.10.2024(A1 to A3) |
| 04. Release on bail | : 01.10.2024(Sec.41-A Cr.p.c) |
| 05. Commencement of trial | : 02.07.2026 |
| 06. Closure of trial | : 17.07.2026 |
| 07. Date of Judgment | : 05.08.2026 |

08. Name of the complainant :
State represented by Inspector of Police,Rajanagaram Police Station

09.Name of the accused :

A1 Mitthani Sai Kumar @ Sandy, S/o Suribabu, Age 27 years, C/Reddy, D.No.4-21-12, Vinayaka street, Opp Railway Station, Alcot Gardens, Rajamahendravaram.

A2 Kattamuri Yaswanth Veera Naga Surya Sri Satya Sai, S/o Kameswararao, Aged 22 years, D.no.5-81/1, Vemagiri Village, Kadiyam Mandal, R/o Near FCI Godown, Srirama Vaddera Colony, Dowleswaram.

A3 Durgada Pandu @ Mahesh, S/o Narayanarao, Aged 20 years, D.No. 90-4-25-1A, Nursery Street, Swaraj Nagar, Near Sambunagar, Rajamahendravaram.

A4 Dommeti Jagadeesh, S/o Sathibabu, Aged 23 years, D.No.2-46-45, Ambica Nagar, Near Sambunagar, Rajamahendravaram. Now at near Raithu Bazar, ILTD, Rajamahendrvaram.

A5 Kovvuri Mohan Vinay Kumar Reddy, S/o Naga Venkata Krishna Reddy, Aged 24 years, D.No.4-203, Andhra Bank Colony, Near FCI Godown, Dowleswaram, Rajamahendravaram.

A6 Katta Durga Sai Charan, S/o Ganesh, Aged 20 years, D.No.2-17-38, Kondavari Street, Alcot Garden, Rajamahendravaram.

10. Offence : U/sec.8(c) R/w 20 (b)(ii)(A) of Narcotic
Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act

1985

11. Plea of the accused : Not guilty
12. Finding : Not guilty
13. Sentence :

In the result, A1 to A6 **found not guilty** for the offence punishable U/sec.8(c) r/w 20 (b)(ii)(A) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, and accordingly, they are **acquitted** u/sec.248(1) of Cr.P.C. The bail bonds of the accused shall be in force for a period of six months u/sec.437-A of Cr.P.C. The case properties i.e., MO1 two samples of 30 grams of Ganja shall be destroyed after expiry of appeal time and MO.2 I Phone 13, MO.3 I phone 15, MO.4 I Phone X, MO.5 Realme Cell Phone shall be sold in public auction and remit the sale consideration to the state after the expiry of the appeal time.

14. Reasons for delay : NIL

**PRL. CIVIL JUDGE(JUNIOR DIVISION)-CUM-
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF I CLASS,
RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM.**

Copy submitted to:

The Hon'ble Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rajamahendravaram.