

SC 291/2024

STATE Vs. MAUN KHAN ETC.

FIR No. 923 /2023

PS- (Okhla Indl. Area)

05.09.2024

Present : Sh. Ashesh Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Ms. Ruchika, proxy counsel for the main counsel Sh.

Aditya Agarwal, Advocate, for accused Maun Khan.

Sh. Prasanna, Ld. Counsel for accused Mohd. Hafiz.

Sh. M. Nabi, Ld. Counsel for accused persons

namely Mohd. Qutub and Mohd. Rafiq.

All accused persons produced from JC.

Today, the matter is listed for arguments on the point of charge. However, learned counsel for accused persons requested for an adjournment on the ground of their personal difficulties for arguments today.

Further, Ld. Addl. PP for the State also submitted that he needs the assistance of IO and therefore, requested that IO may be called on the next date of hearing.

Heard. Allowed.

At request of Ld. Addl. PP for the State, **let notice be issued to IO through SHO concerned, for the next date of hearing**, for his assistance for arguments on the point of charge.

At joint request, be listed the matter again for **arguments on the point of charge** on two consecutive dates i.e **11.11.2024** and **12.11.2024**.

(Dr. TARUN SAHRAWAT)
ASJ-04 + Spl. Judge (NDPS),
South East District, Saket Court,
New Delhi /05.09.2024