

1 MACT 689/17
SHRI CHAND Vs. RISHI PAL

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Lakshay Kumar, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Ms. Vandana Kahlon, Ld. counsel for insurance
company.
None for other respondents.

Despite repeated directions petitioner has not filed or
supplied statement of Smt. Bimla, GD entry dt.15.06.2017. As such,
since deficient documents has not filed.

As such, matter is adjourned sine die with liberty to be
revive as and when deficient documents are filed

File be consigned to the record room.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

2 EX 473/22

SURENDER KUMAR Vs. AASIT MALIK

22.01.2026

Present: Ms. Baby Rai, Ld. Consel for DH along with DH.
JD in person.

JD has made a payment of Rs.5,000/- in cash to DH.
Same has been duly received by DH.

JD is directed to pay atleast Rs.20,000/- on the next date.

Be put up on **06.04.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

3 MACT 114/24
Rama Sharma Vs. Mithranjan Kumar

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Ravi Kant, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.

Petitioner has not complied with the previous order and neither filed any compliance qua opening of the bank account of the petitioner nor filed PF. Even criminal record of the case has not been filed till date.

Last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to comply with the directions failing which interest of the petitioner shall be closed.

Matter be put up on **15.09.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

4 MACT 115/24
Laxmi Sharma Vs. Mithranjan Kumar

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Ravi Kant, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.

Petitioner has not complied with the previous order and neither filed any compliance qua opening of the bank account of the petitioner nor filed PF. Even criminal record of the case has not been filed till date.

Last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to comply with the directions failing which interest of the petitioner shall be closed.

Matter be put up on 15.09.2026.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

5 MACT 116/24

Laxmi Sharma (mother Of Deceased Kavyansh) Vs. Mithranjan
Kumar

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Ravi Kant, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.

Petitioner has not complied with the previous order and neither filed any compliance qua opening of the bank account of the petitioner nor filed PF. Even criminal record of the case has not been filed till date.

Last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to comply with the directions failing which interest of the petitioner shall be closed.

Matter be put up on **15.09.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

6 MACT 117/24

Tanvi Sharma Through Mother Vs. Mithranjan Kumar

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Ravi Kant, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.

Petitioner has not complied with the previous order and neither filed any compliance qua opening of the bank account of the petitioner nor filed PF. Even criminal record of the case has not been filed till date.

Last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to comply with the directions failing which interest of the petitioner shall be closed.

Matter be put up on **15.09.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

22.01.2026

Present: Ms. Dipika Yadav, Ld. Counsel for petitioner (through VC).
Ms. Vandana Kahlon, Ld. Counsel for insurance company.
None for other respondents.

Ld. counsel for petitioner has sought some time for filing tracking report qua service of R1 and for moving appropriate application for service of R2 through publication.

Certified copy of the criminal record was already filed.

Matter be put up for service of R1 and R2 on **13.08.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Krishan Mourya, Ld. Proxy Counsel for petitioner
(through VC).
Sh. Shankar N. Sinha, Ld. Counsel for insurance
company.
None for other respondents.

1. Report of the IO has been received as per which R1 and R2 stand duly served. Despite service neither R1 and R2 appeared nor filed any reply/WS.
2. WS of insurance company is already on record.
3. On the basis of pleadings on record, following issues are framed.

1. Whether the injured suffered injuries in a road traffic accident on 29.06.2024 due to rash and negligent driving of vehicle no. HR-87-7128 being driven by R1, owned by R2 and insured with R3? OPP

2. Whether the injured is entitled to any compensation, if so, to what extent and from whom ? OPP.

3. Relief.

No other issue arises or is pressed.

4. In the case of **Gohar Muhammad Vs. Uttar Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation**, Civil Appeal no. 9322 of 2022 decided on 15.12.2022, it has been directed by Hon'ble Supreme Court:

“63. In our view the said contention is as per Rule 30, ***where the insurance company disputes the liability***, the Claims Tribunal is **duty bound** to record the evidence through the local commissioner and the fee/expenses of such local commissioner

shall be borne by the insurance company.”

5. As such, in view of such provision of law and directions by Hon’ble SC, it is ordered hereby that evidence of claimant/petitioner and his witnesses, as well as that of respondent side and his witnesses, if any, be recorded through commission.

6. **Ms.Sarita Sharma, Enrl. No. D/1301/2011, Mobile no. 9810410835,** is appointed as Ld. Local Commissioner in this case for recording of such evidence.

6.1 From the side of the **petitioner/Claimant**, process be coordinated by **Ld. Counsel Sh.Ankush Premi, Enrol No.P/2560/2017, Office:1888, Sec-2, near Water Tank Ballabhgarh-121004, Faridabad, mob.9999913769/8595415368.**

6.2 From the side of the **Insurance Company**, process be coordinated by **Ld. Counsel, Sh.Sankar N. Sinha, Enrol No.D/589/2001, ch. no.736, Saket court Complex, New Delhi, mob.9899924253.**

6.3 From the side of the **R1/driver and R2/owner**, process be coordinated by themselves. **Driver/Veer Singh, s/o Sh. Govardhan, r/o Village Tigari Datt Nagar, PS Aliganj Block Majhgawan Distt. Bareilly, U.P. and Owner/Abhay Kumar Mishra, s/o Sh.Radhy Krishna Mishra, ASPN Infratech Pvt. Ltd. Ground floor, Green Field Colony, Faridabad.**

7.1. Assignment of case by court for recording of evidence-

7.1.1. Schedule of Evidence- Evidence shall be recorded preferably on the day & time fixed by Ld. Commissioner. If it is not possible on the same day, then on the next day. Evidence shall continue on day to day basis, till conclusion. Any alteration in schedule or recording of evidence, if needed, shall be decided by the Ld. Commissioner, after consulting all concerned.

7.1.2. Judicial File not to be sent- It is clarified that the judicial file

shall not be sent or summoned for recording of evidence by the Ld. Commissioner.

7.1.3. Production of Documents for Cross-examination- In case the opposite side is desirous of production of any document by the witness or any other entity for the purpose of cross-examination, *including the documents which is already deposited in this court by a party*, an application requesting the same shall be moved before this court expeditiously as per law.

7.2. Recording of evidence by the Commissioner-

7.2.1. Place and Time- Ld. Commissioner shall record evidence only in the Saket Court Complex at the address agreed in writing by both sides within one week of this order. But in case both side parties so agree and give in writing to the Ld. Commissioner, within one week of this order, then such evidence can be recorded at any other Court complex in Delhi (e.g. Patiala House Court complex, Tis Hazari Court complex). But in any case such place shall not be other than a court complex in Delhi.

7.2.1.1. In case, both the parties failed to agree/furnish a particular place within one week, then LC shall be at liberty to decide the exact place, subject to parameters just mentioned above. And Ld. Commissioner shall intimate suitably both sides ,as well as this court in this regard.

7.2.1.2. Evidence shall be recorded between 10.00 AM to 5.00 PM. It can carry on beyond 5.00 PM as well in case both parties agree. It can even be recorded on a holiday if all the stake holder are comfortable and agreeable.

7.2.2. Chronology of Recording- Ld. Commissioner shall proceed to

record the examination by first recording the deposition of parties to present claim ,before examining additional summoned witnesses.

7.2.3. Oath to Witnesses- Ld. Commissioner shall give oath to the witnesses under examination as a delegate of the Court as per Oaths Act.

7.2.4. Recording of Evidence- The evidence shall be preferably typed on a computer or in case of non- availability shall be neatly hand written.

7.2.5. Time Frame:-

7.2.5.1. Petitioner shall supply advance copy of examination in chief by way of affidavit of claimant (s) as well as his “non summoned” witness (s), if any within two weeks from today to other parties.

7.2.5.2. Likewise, after conclusion of recording of claimants/ petitioner side evidence, within next two weeks thereafter, respondent side shall supply advance copy of examination in chief by way of affidavit of respondent (s) as well as his “non summoned” witness (s), if any to other parties.

7.2.5.3. Ld. Commissioner shall conclude the recording of evidence preferably on the same day or within shortest time possible, but not later than within **30** days of assignment of a case, which is extendable by the order of this court by another **30** days in case the evidence is not concluded despite best efforts, if application is moved by any of the parties or Ld. LC.

7.2.5.4. Both Claimant/Petitioner’s evidence and respondent’s evidence shall be concluded within 30 days each of initiation. In case there are more than two witnesses for each side, one week per witness may be added to the 30 day period.

7.2.6. Comfortable Sitting Space- All the witnesses and their Ld. Counsel shall be provided comfortable sitting space by coordination with both side counsels .

7.2.7. Exhibition of Documents- Ld. Commissioner shall exhibit all the documents sought to be proved by a party on record. In case of any objection to exhibition of the documents by the either side, the objection shall be recorded in some detail and left open and admissibility of such document shall be decided by the referral Court at final stage.

7.2.8. Original Documents to be Retained by Parties- Ld. Commissioner shall make an observation in the record of evidence of all original documents produced and he shall sign the exhibits with an endorsement OSR (original seen & returned) wherever necessary.

7.2.9. English Language- On the first date, when all the concerned parties appear before the Commissioner, he shall proceed to record the cross-examination and re-examination, if any, of a witness in English language.

7.2.10. Adjournments- Once started the cross-examination shall be continued on day to day basis. In case of any hardship viz. ill health etc. the case can be deferred, at the discretion of the Ld. Commissioner.

7.2.11. Question-Answers- On the request of Id. Counsel cross-examining the witness, portions of deposition may be recorded in question-answer form, if the Ld. Commissioner deem it fit and necessary.

7.2.12. Recording of Objections- All the objections raised during

cross-examination/re-examination shall be recorded in the deposition under titled objections and shall be left open for the decision of the Court at the stage of final arguments. Witness shall not refuse to the answer the question raised on this account only.

7.2.13. Questions to be allowed- In case Ld. Commissioner finds any question not related to the fact and issue, he shall record his objection, but shall allow the question to be put and witness must answer.

7.2.14. Assisting the Witness- In case witness is unable to understand the question put to him, Ld. Commissioner shall elaborate the same in an easy to understand manner in an impartial way.

7.2.15. No Third Person Intervention- Ld. Commissioner shall ensure that the witness is not assisted by his Ld. Counsel or any other third party while under cross-examination by way of verbal or non-verbal communication.

7.2.16. Recording of Demeanor of Witness- Ld. Commissioner shall record the demeanor of the witness where ever it is found pertinent and necessary for sharing with the Court.

7.2.17. Witness to sign all pages- Ld. Commissioner shall obtain signatures of both the sides on each and every page of recording of evidence apart from signing them himself. In case the witness refuse to sign , the Ld. Commissioner will still himself sign all such pages after noting that witness refused to sign .Ld. Commissioner shall record reasons ,if any, stated by the witness for refusal to sign.

7.2.18. Copy of Evidence- All interested parties shall be provided uncertified copy of the evidence recorded, free of cost.

7.2.19. Safe keep of Original Deposition- Ld. Commissioner shall keep the original depositions in his safe custody till such time they are filed in the Court in original upon completion of each witness individually.

7.2.20. Miscellaneous Proceedings- Ld. Commissioner shall maintain a miscellaneous proceeding sheet for each day of work and shall submit it in the Court with the report.

7.2.21. Hostile Witness- In case a witness is sought to be declared hostile, than Ld. LC shall refer both the parties to Court within three days for exercising powers U/s. 154 Evidence Act.

7.2.22. Filing of list of witnesses- Both sides shall file list of witnesses preferably within 7 Days but not later than 2 week of identification of issues before the Tribunal while sharing an advanced copy thereof with the opposite Party/Parties.

7.2.23. *If so desired for the purpose of such evidence only*, the original documents on record, be returned to the parties in lieu of photocopies for such purpose.

7.3. Summoning of Official Witnesses-

7.3.1. Summons from Court- In case a litigating party is desirous of summoning an official witnesses, it shall obtain summons from the Court with an endorsement that witness shall appear before the address of Ld. Commissioner for recording of evidence on scheduled date, time and place.

7.4. Advisory to Ld. Commissioner-

7.4.1. Impartial- Ld. Commissioner shall conduct himself in an impartial way and behave in an indiscriminate manner while recording of evidence.

7.4.2. Confidentiality- Ld. Commissioner shall maintain confidentiality during the whole process.

7.4.3. Integrity- Ld. Commissioner shall not except remuneration or any favour in cash or kind from the parties over and above the amount fixed by the Court.

7.4.5. Non-judgmental- Ld. Commissioner shall not criticize the professional conduct of litigating parties, lawyers on their understanding of law of either of the parties or ridicule them in any manner.

7.4.6. Coordination- In case of any foreseen circumstances warranting change of dates of hearing, for his own case or the request of other side, he shall apprise the other side in advance via phone call, email, sms etc.

7.4.7. No Third Party Sharing- He shall not allow the deposition to be inspected by any third party and shall not share a copy thereof with anybody stranger without permission of the Court.

7.4.8 Inspection- He shall not allow any party to inspect the recorded proceedings in his absence.

7.4.9. Recusal - In case either of the parties or Counsel for the parties are related or closely known to Court Commissioner, he/she shall recuse self from the case and inform the referral Tribunal.

7.5. Remuneration of Ld. Commissioner-

7.5.1. Quantum- In terms of Rule 30 of **Amended Rule 150 A of the MV Act r/w Motor Vehicle (5th Amendment), Rules, 2022 r/w Annexure XIII relating to procedure for investigation of motor vehicle accidents** the remuneration for the purposes of Commissioner need to be fixed by the Court. Remuneration Of Ld.

LC shall be *Rs.5000/- per witness.*

LC is further directed to furnish his/her KYC details before the court/to counsel for insurance company.

This remuneration shall be payable to Ld.LC on the same day when proceedings are conducted before such Ld.LC.

7.5.1(a). Adjournment cost: In case any party takes adjournment on the date fixed for recording of evidence by learned Local Commissioner, such party shall be liable to bear an adjournment cost of Rs.1000/- for such adjournment, payable to learned Local Commissioner.

7.5.2. Mode of Payment- Such remuneration shall be paid by the party directly either by way of cash, cheque or draft against due receipt.

7.5.3. For this purpose, the Ld. Local Commissioner shall provide his bank account / online payment details to the respondent side so that payment through electronic mode can be made by the respondent side, if so desired.

7.5.4. Cost to Parties - If Insurance Company is a respondent, then such respondent Insurance Company shall bear the cost of leading Petitioner/Claimant's side evidence as well as its own evidence.

7.5.5. But in case Respondent Driver/ Owner wants to lead evidence, he/they, himself/themselves shall bear cost of his/their own evidence.

7.6. Judicial Intervention during recording of evidence-

7.6.1. Parties to Cooperate- It is expected that both the sides will cooperate with Ld. Commissioner as well as each other and record evidence in a peaceful manner.

7.6.2. Dissolution of stalemate- In case of any conflicting

circumstances they shall resolve issues at their own level with the active help of Ld. Commissioner.

7.6.3. Court Intervention- However, in case of any unforeseen situation requiring judicial intervention, Ld. Commissioner shall fix date and time for joint appearance of both sides before the Court for removal of any such impediment.

7.6.4. Closure of Evidence – In case a Party fails to Examine any Witness despite opportunity, the Court Commissioner or the opposite Party can request the Tribunal to close the right of the defaulting party to lead Evidence.

7.7. Miscellaneous Applications-

7.7.1. Moving the Application- In case either of the party is desirous of moving any miscellaneous application viz. amending of pleadings, interim injunction etc. they shall share an advance copy with the opposite side and reply thereof, if any, shall be prepared within seven days.

7.7.2. Date of Hearing- Upon receipt of reply, both the sides shall get the application fixed for disposal in the Court in with the help of Reader of the Court and shall not wait till next date fixed for hearing.

All such miscellaneous applications shall be separately registered, numbered and indexed as an extension of main suit number.

7.7.3. Evidence not to be stalled- It is clarified that, unless Ld. Counsel is of the view that the application is such that evidence cannot be recorded before its disposal, the recording of PE/RE shall continue unabatedly.

7.7.4. Ld. Counsels/or their Parties shall contact the Ld.

Commissioner within one week from today. Thereafter, Ld. Commissioner shall fix the date(s) for recording evidence and intimate the parties and/or their counsels suitably, apart from finalizing the place of recording evidence in view of directions mentioned above.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner of this court shall intimate/send copy of this order to Ld. Commissioner through email/electronic mode on the mobile number of the Ld. LC.

Parties can collect a soft copy of this order online.

7.7.5. Put up on **10.09.2026** before this tribunal for Final arguments/further proceeding/appropriate order.

In the meantime, at joint request of the parties, matter be put up for Pre Lok Adalat hearing on **12.03.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)

Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

13 MACT 494/25
AJAY KUMAR AHIRWAR Vs. MONU

22.01.2026

Present: Ms. Sangeeta, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Heramb Sharma, Ld. counsel for insurance
company(through VC).
None for other respondents.

Ld. counsel for insurance company seeks to file his reply.
Let the same be filed within 30 days.

Notice issued to other respondents not returned. Fresh
notice be issued to R1/driver, R2/registered owner and R3/subsequent
purchaser/possession holder of the offending vehicle, on filing of PF.

Matter be put up for service of R1, R2 and R3 on
05.08.2026.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

18 Misc DJ 884/25
DINESH (FAR) Vs. UNKNOWN
FIR No.346/25
PS NFC

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Dheeraj Singh, Ld. Counsel for petitioner along with
petitioner.
SI Rakesh on behalf of IO/SI Naveen.

IO has filed DAR in the present FAR with MACT
No.94/2026. Same is clubbed and tagged with the main DAR.

Accordingly FAR stands disposed of.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

19 MACT 407/19
USHA (DAR) Vs. NEM SINGH
FIR No.129/18
PS KJ

22.01.2026

Present: Petitioner in person.
Sh. , Ld. counsel for

pending

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

20 MACT 880/19

MOHAN KUMAR Vs. SHAMIM AHMAD (134/18, BP)

22.01.2026

Present: Petitioner in person.
 None for respondents.

This is a no insurance case.

Matter is fixed for PE. None is present from Oriental Insurance Company, Record Keeper/Manager of which was summoned on the last date for filing original medical bills filed by the petitioner with his medi-claim policy no.272400/48/2017/8045. As such, fresh summons be issued to such witness in terms of previous order on filing of PF as well as through dasti mode, returnable on 15.04.2026.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

22 MACT 950/19

MANISH KUMAR WAHI (DAR) Vs. DEEPIKA MALHOTRA
(155/19PPP)

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. SK Rai , Ld. Counsel for petitioner along with father of the petitioner.
Sh. Awdesh Kumar and Deepak Yadav, Ld. Counsel for R1 and R2 (through VC).
None for R3/ins.co.

Ld. counsel for R1 and R2 submit that no respondent evidence has to be led by the driver and owner. As such, on their request, RE of R1 and R2 is closed.

No evidence has been led by the ins.co till date. As such, opportunity of RE of the ins.co is also closed. Report of the LC is received qua evidence recorded so far.

Ld. Counsel for ins.co is directed to pay the LC fees as per directions, if not paid so far, matter be put up for final arguments on **14.05.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

25 MACT 418/23
SUSHIL(DAR) Vs. MAN SINGH (90/23 BP)

22.01.2026

Present: Ms. Kanta Chaudhay, Ld. Counsel for petitioner along with petitioner.
Sh. Varun Sarin, Ld. Counsel for insurance company(through VC).
Sh. Umesh Sharma, Ld.counsel for R1 and R2(through VC).

Disability report noticing 90% permanent disability in relation to left upper limb and hand received from Pt. Madan Mohan Malviya Hospital. Copy be supplied to Ld. Counsel for petitioner and insurance company.

Matter is pending before Ld.LC for recording of evidence.

Matter be put up for final arguments after conclusion of evidence on **18.05.2026**.

At request, matter may also put up for Pre Lok Adalat hearing on **10.03.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

27 MACT 675/24
AKABAR Vs. SAKIB

22.01.2026

Present: Ms., Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Sh. , Ld. Counsel for insurance company.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.1034/2018
Saurabh v. Rajveer & ors.

22.01.2026

Present: None.

It is reported by Nazir that the Award amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.290/2020
Rekha vs. Mohd. Fahad

22.01.2026

Present: None.

It is reported by Nazir that the Award amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.293/2020
Sunhari vs. Mohd. Fahad

22.01.2026

Present: None.

It is reported by Nazir that the Award amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.22/2023
Kapil Pandey vs. Anubhav Sharma

22.01.2026

Present: None.

It is reported by Nazir that the Award amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.
Khushboo Kumari vs. Abdul

22.01.2026

This is an application seeking closing of RE.

Present: Ms. Sulekha Thakur, Ld. Counsel for applicant (through
VC).

.....
20.04.2026.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.453/2024
Seema vs. Vinay & ors.

22.01.2026

Present: None.

Award passed dated 16.12.2025 has still not been complied by insurance company. Let recovery certificate be issued against the insurance company on filing of PF, returnable on 16.03.2026.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.452/2024
Rajender & ors. vs. Vinay & ors.

22.01.2026

Present: None.

Award passed dated 16.12.2025 has still not been complied by insurance company. Let recovery certificate be issued against the insurance company on filing of PF, returnable on 16.03.2026.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.454/2024
Harish Salikram vs. Vinay & ors.

22.01.2026

Present: None.

Award passed dated 16.12.2025 has still not been complied by insurance company. Let recovery certificate be issued against the insurance company on filing of PF, returnable on 16.03.2026.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.451/2024
Brajesh Kumar & ors. vs. Vinay & ors.

22.01.2026

Present: None.

Award passed dated 16.12.2025 has still not been complied by insurance company. Let recovery certificate be issued against the insurance company on filing of PF, returnable on 16.03.2026.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.993/2019
Hulaasiya vs. Vijender

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Raju, Ld.counsel for DH.

Recovery certificate has not been issued against JD as PF has not filed by DH.

Let fresh recovery certificate be issued on filig of PF in terms of previous order dated 26.11.2025.

Be put up on **17.03.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.994/19
Ram Swaroop vs. Vijender

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Raju, Ld.counsel for DH.

Recovery certificate has not been issued against JD as PF has not filed by DH.

Let fresh recovery certificate be issued on filig of PF in terms of previous order dated 26.11.2025.

Be put up on **17.03.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.992/19
Amar vs Vijender

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Raju, Ld.counsel for DH.

Recovery certificate has not been issued against JD as PF has not filed by DH.

Let fresh recovery certificate be issued on filig of PF in terms of previous order dated 26.11.2025.

Be put up on **17.03.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.991/19
Pooja Sonariya vs. Vijender

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Raju, Ld.counsel for DH.

Recovery certificate has not been issued against JD as PF has not filed by DH.

Let fresh recovery certificate be issued on filig of PF in terms of previous order dated 26.11.2025.

Be put up on **17.03.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

Misc DJ No.77/2026
Mannu vs. Unknown

22.01.2026

Present: IO/SI Ashwani Yadav in person.

Fresh FAR received.

Same be registered as Miscellaneous application in view of Rules 21(4) of Annexure 13 “procedure for investigation of MV Accidental case” read with Section 150A MV Act Rules.

As per the FAR, accident pertains to 24.10.2025, despite which no DAR has been filed till date. **Show cause notice** be issued to the SHO concerned for filing of DAR.

Be put up on **25.03.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.328/2023
Lata Verma vs.Jahir Ahmad & anr.

22.01.2026

This is an application filed u/s 151 CPC for filing fresh affidavit of evidence on behalf of petitioner.

Present: Sh. Bhagwan Jha, Ld. counsel for applicant.

Application perused.

Notice of this application be issued to all concerned parties on filing of PF.

Be put up with main file on **10.02.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

22.01.2026

FAR already filed. DAR has been filed today. Same be checked and registered now. In view of filing of DAR, FAR stands disposed of and be tagged with DAR.

Present: SI Rakesh on behalf of IO/SI Naveen in person.
Sh. Dheeraj Singh, Ld.counsel for petitioner along with petitioner.
Mohd. Ahmed Ali, Ld.counsel for R1/driver cum owner (through VC).
None for insurance company.

This is a case of no DL.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of petitioner.

Copy of DAR supplied to parties physically present today.

In view of practice directions issued vide circular no.134/Rules/DHC dated 14.05.2025 pursuant to the decisions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Re "*Compensation amounts deposited with Motor Accident Claims Tribunals and Labour Courts*" (Writ Petition(C) No.7 of 2024), petitioner(s) are directed to:

- a) Furnish their Aadhar, PAN details, e-mail id, if any, if not already filed;
- b) File their bank account details alongwith either a certificate of the banker giving all details of the bank account of the petitioner(s) including IFS code, or a copy of cancelled cheque of the bank account. These documents, if not already filed, be produced and filed within one month;
- c) Update information regarding the bank accounts, e-mail id, in

case of any change therein.

In addition to the above, petitioner(s) are also directed to file two sets of photographs and their specimen signatures as per Rule 32(2) of Amended Rule 150A MV (5th amendment) Rules.

In terms of directions passed in Re "*Compensation amounts deposited with Motor Accident Claims Tribunals and Labour Courts*", **notice be issued to insurance company through IO SUBJECT TO FILING OF the above details for 12.08.2026/NDOH.**

(Charu Gupta)

Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.93/26
FIR No.601/25
PS Badarpur
Sandeep vs. Kuldeep Singh

22.01.2026

Fresh DAR has been filed today. Same be checked and registered.

Present: IO /ASI Rajesh in person.
Sh.Mahesh Chandra, Ld.counsel for petitioner along with petitioner.
Sh.V P Yadav, Ld.counsel for R1 and R2 along with R1 and R2.
Sh.Wasim Waqar, Ld.counsel for insurance company.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of claimant. Vakalatnama filed also on behalf of R1 and R2. Memo of appearance filed on behalf of Insurance company. Same are taken on record.

Claimant is directed to file original medical bills by next date.

Copy of DAR supplied to all parties.

Now to come up for reply / legal offer in prescribed Form-XI on **10.08.2026**. The insurance company shall also disclose their bank account details in the Legal Offer/Reply.

In view of practice directions issued vide circular no.134/Rules/DHC dated 14.05.2025 pursuant to the decisions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Re "*Compensation amounts deposited with Motor Accident Claims Tribunals and Labour Courts*" (Writ Petition(C) No.7 of 2024), petitioner(s) are directed to:

- a) Furnish their Aadhar, PAN details, e-mail id, if any, if not already filed;
- b) File their bank account details alongwith either a certificate of the banker giving all details of the bank account of the petitioner(s) including IFS code, or a copy of cancelled cheque of the bank account.

These documents, if not already filed, be produced and filed within one month;

c) Update information regarding the bank accounts, e-mail id, in case of any change therein.

In addition to the above, petitioner(s) are also directed to file two sets of photographs and their specimen signatures as per Rule 32(2) of Amended Rule 150A MV (5th amendment) Rules.

(Charu Gupta)

Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

Ex.No.36/26

Ram Murti & ors. vs. Amir Chandra & anr.

22.01.2026

Present: Sh.Prabhu Dayal, Ld.counsel for DH.

It is seen that an Award dated 16.05.2024, for a sum of Rs.8,70,060/- was passed against JD no.1/driver cum owner. As per record, address of JD no.1 is shown to be Santha Navipur, Etah, U.P. Address of JD no.1 is not situated within South East District.

As such, since address of JD is located outside the territorial jurisdiction of this court, let a **transfer certificate** be issued in favour of DH to enable them to get the Award executed from the appropriate court where JD is residing or where movable/immovable properties of JD are lying/situated.

File be consigned to the record room.

(Charu Gupta)

Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.605/2021
Manoj Kumar vs. Ram Khushi Kumar & ors.

22.01.2026

File taken up on an application moved on behalf of petitioner for summoning of witness.

Present: Sh. Deepak Mourya, Ld. Counsel for applicant.

Application perused. Submissions heard.

Application is allowed.

Accordingly, the witness mentioned in the application i.e. (1) SI Mahaveer Singh, PIS no.28862017..... be summoned on filing of PF and (2) Constable Ankit, PIS no.280792..... be summoned to appear before Ld. LC on **04.02.2026 at 2 p.m** upon filing of PF.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Dasti be also given.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

MACT No.518/2023
Sushil Gupta vs. Man Singh

22.01.2026

File taken up on application seeking release of original documents for recording of evidence.

Present: Ms.Kanta Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for applicant.

Heard. Perused.

Application is allowed.

Originals be handed over to Ld. Counsel for applicant/claimant upon filing photocopies, only for the purpose of recording of evidence before Ld.LC.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

(Charu Gupta)
Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026

Ex.No.37/2026

New India Assurance Co.Ltd.vs. Mohd. Hashim & anr.

22.01.2026

Present: Sh. Vikas Sharma, Ld.counsel for DH/insurance Co.

This is an execution arising of the pay and recover rights granted to the insurance company.

It is seen that Award dated 23.09.2025, for a sum of Rs.54,40,089/- was passed against JDs. As per record, address of JD no.1 is shown to be Neem Sarai, Thana Dhooman Ganj, Allahabad, U.P and JD no.2 is shown to be Karbala, Allahabad, U.P. None of these addresses are situated within South-East District.

As such, since addresses of JDs are located outside the territorial jurisdiction of this court, let a **transfer certificate** be issued in favour of DH to enable them to get the Award executed from the appropriate court where JDs are residing or where movable/immovable properties of JDs are lying/situated.

File be consigned to the record room.

(Charu Gupta)

Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/22.01.2026