

28.09.2022

Present : Counsel for plaintiff.

.....

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

ARBTN-1559/21

PERFECT FINSEC PVT LTD Vs. UMESH NANGIA

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Satyadeo Kumar, Ld. counsel for petitioner.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner submits that he wishes to withdraw the present petition as the matter has been settled amicably with the respondent and the respondent has made entire payment towards the outstanding amount.

Statement of counsel for petitioner has been recorded separately in this regard.

In view of the same, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn having been settled.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

EX-252/22

HARI SINGH ALIAS OM PRAKASH Vs. UNION OF
INDIATHROUGH LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. N.S. Chechi, Ld. Counsel for DH.
Sh. S.K. Puri, Ld. Counsel for UOI/JD NO.1 along
with Sh. R.P. Verma, Patwari.
Ms. Srishti Govil, Ld. Counsel for JD No.2/PWD.

Certain objections are filed on behalf of JD No.2/PWD
today. The same are taken on record. Copy supplied.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for JD No.2/PWD that
after passing of the judgment in this case on 30.03.2022, one of the
DH namely Hari Singh passed away on 07.04.2022 and his legal
heirs have not been impleaded properly. It is further pointed out that
after filing of Execution Petition, one of the DH namely Sh. Ved
Prakash also passed away and his death certificate along with
surviving member certificate have not been placed on record. It is
further pointed out that name of one of the DH is wrongly
mentioned as Sh. Kushal instead of Smt. Kushal w/o Sh. Jai Kishan.

Ld. Counsel for DH submits that he had already filed
an application under Order XXII R 1 & 3 CPC for impleadment of
legal heirs of deceased Hari Singh and LR verification report in
respect of deceased Hari Singh is already filed by Niab Tehsildar,
South East District.

Ld. Counsel for JDs have no objection to the present
application.

Submissions heard. Application perused.

In these circumstances, the application under Order XXII R 1 & 3 CPC is allowed.

Perusal of record shows that vide order dated 17.08.2022, legal heirs of deceased Ved Prakash were already impleaded by Ld. Predecessor Court as the verification report of LR of deceased Ved Prakash was filed by Niab Tehsildar, South East District. There is no requirement of placing on record succession certificate by DH, when surviving member certificate is already submitted by the concerned Department. The said objection stands disallowed.

So far as typographical error in the name of Smt. Kushal w/o Sh. Jai Kishan, the same stands rectified on request of Ld. Counsel for DH.

An opportunity is sought by Id. Counsel for UOI to file ENM by NDOH as the same could not be signed by the LAC concerned.

ENM be filed before the NDOH with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for filing of ENM on **14.12.2022**.

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

CS DJ-446/22

JEET RAM SHARMA Vs. PUSHPA SHARMA

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Ishank Gaur, Proxy Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Tapan Kr. Jha, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of defendant. The same is taken on record.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for defendant that complete set of documents was not received from plaintiff.

Proxy counsel for plaintiff undertakes to supply complete set of documents to the defendant within three days.

Let WS be filed on behalf of defendant within stipulated period with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for further proceedings **on 21.12.2022.**

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

MCA DJ-16/22

OMAR FAROOQ Vs. MOHAMMED KALWA

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Asif Naeem, Ld. Counsel for appellant.
Sh. Vikas Arora, Ld. Counsel for respondent.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent. The same is taken on record.

Some time is sought on behalf of respondent to file reply to the present appeal. Let the same be filed with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for reply and arguments **on 08.12.2022**. In the meantime, TCR be called atleast two days before the NDOH and be returned thereafter.

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

CS DJ-528/22

MUKTA BAWEJA Vs. ANEESH SEKHRI

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Shivam Malhotra, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff along
with son of plaintiff.

Sh. Mohit Gulati, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of defendant. The same is taken on record.

It is submitted by ld. Counsel for defendant that possession of suit property has already been handed over by defendant to plaintiff and a cheque of Rs.1.10 lacs was also sent to plaintiff towards partial payment of arrears due upon the defendant.

It is submitted on behalf of plaintiff that the said cheque is already deposited before the court and plaintiff does not wish to accept the same till entire dues are cleared by defendant.

It is submitted on behalf of defendant that there is likelihood of settlement in this case and that the matter may be referred to Mediation Centre for carrying out negotiations.

Request not opposed.

Hence, the matter is referred to the Mediation center, Saket Court for exploring possibilities of settlement **for 10.10.2022 at 12.30 pm** where both the parties are directed to appear.

Put up for report of settlement / further proceedings **on 15.11.2022.**

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

ARBT-254/22

HERO FINCORP LTD. Vs. MEERA DEVI

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Kabir Hazarika, Ld. counsel for petitioner.

Counsel submits that he seeks to withdraw the present petition as arbitrations proceedings have been initiated in the present matter and petitioner shall file its claim before the Ld. Sole Arbitrator for the remaining relief.

Statement of counsel for petitioner has been recorded separately in this regard.

In view of the same, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

Misc DJ-171/18

UNION OF INDIA Vs. RAM SINGH THROUGH LRS

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Ankit Bidhuri, Counsel for applicant.
Sh. S.K. Puri, Ld. Counsel for UOI.

Report received from LAC branch, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi that internal share of entitled IPs is required for proper share split report.

Ld. Counsel for applicant is directed to assist the LAC branch at Tis Hazari Courts with regard to internal share of entitled persons for preparation of report.

Notice be issued to LAC branch, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi for furnishing of report, **for 14.12.2022.**

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

EX-25/19

RATTAN SINGH THROUGH LRS Vs. UNION OF INDIA

28.09.2022

Present : Md. Hashim, Counsel for DH.
Sh. S.K. Puri, Ld. Counsel for UOI along with
Sh. R.P. Verma, Patwari.

Warrants of attachment issued against JD received back
duly executed.

Report from the bank is still not received.

Be awaited for report **for 16.12.2022.**

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

At this stage, Nazir has produced the banker's cheque of
Rs.2,69,849.06/- bearing No.893096 dated 23.09.2022 drawn on
SBI.

Let the amount be deposited in the SBI, District Court
branch, Saket, New Delhi.

Counsel for DH and counsel for UOI are also present.

Counsel for DH has moved an application under Order
XXI R 16 read with Section 151 CPC seeking substitution of legal
heirs of deceased DH no.5 late Sh. Jagan Singh @ Jagat Singh s/o
late Sh. Ram Phal. Copy supplied to counsel for UOI.

Let verification report be filed by the office of LAC.

- 2 -

Put up for filing of verification report, objections, if any
and for filing of documents of DH for the release of compensation
on date already fixed i.e. **16.12.2022**.

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

EX-1068/19

ISHWAR SINGH Vs. UNION OF INDIA

28.09.2022

Present : Md. Hashim, Counsel for DH.
Sh. S.K. Puri, Ld. Counsel for UOI along with
Sh. R.P. Verma, Patwari.

Warrants of attachment issued against JD received back
duly executed.

Report from the bank is still not received.

Be awaited for report **for 16.12.2022.**

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

At this stage, Nazir has produced the banker's cheque of
Rs.46,49,035.56/- bearing No.893095 dated 23.09.2022 drawn on
SBI.

Let the amount be deposited in the SBI, District Court
branch, Saket, New Delhi.

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

CS DJ-665/20

KRISHNA SOOD Vs. SHIRIN KHAJURIA

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Dhananjay Grover, Ld. Counsel for
counter-claimant.
Sh. Kapil Gupta, Ld. Counsel for non-counter-claimant.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of counter-claimant.

The same is taken on record.

It is submitted on behalf of both the parties that main connected suit to the present counter-claim had been transferred to another court and some time be given to move appropriate application before the Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, South East, Saket for transfer of the said suit to this court for just decision of both the cases.

In view of request and submissions of both the parties,
put up for consideration **on 31.10.2022.**

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

ARB TN-264/22

INDUSIND BANK LTD Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR BUILDING
MATERIAL SUPPLIER C

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Kabir Hazarika, Ld. counsel for petitioner.

Counsel submits that he seeks to withdraw the present petition as arbitrations proceedings have been initiated in the present matter and petitioner shall file its claim before the Ld. Sole Arbitrator for the remaining relief.

Statement of counsel for petitioner has been recorded separately in this regard.

In view of the same, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

ARBTN-265/22

INDUSIND BANK LTD. Vs. RAMESH CHANDRA GULATI

28.09.2022

Present : None for petitioner.

As per telephonic request received, put up for
consideration **on 30.09.2022.**

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court

New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

EX-334/22

HARBIR SINGH Vs. UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Ankit Bidhuri, Ld. Counsel for DH.
Sh. S.K. Puri, Ld. Counsel for UOI/JD No.1.

Steps not taken to serve JD No.2/DDA.

Fresh notice be issued to JD No.2 on filing of PF and
RC for 23.11.2022.

Some time is sought on behalf of UOI to file ENM. Let
the same be filed on or before NDOH.

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

ARB TN-274/22

PERFECT CAPITAL SERVICES LTD. Vs. SUDHIR

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Satyadeo Kumar, Ld. counsel for petitioner.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner submits that he wishes to withdraw the present petition as the matter has been settled amicably with the respondent and the respondent has made entire payment towards the outstanding amount.

Statement of counsel for petitioner has been recorded separately in this regard.

In view of the same, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn having been settled.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

ARB TN-275/22

PERFECT FINSEC PVT LTD. Vs. KRISHAN KUMAR

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Satyadeo Kumar, Ld. counsel for petitioner.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner submits that he wishes to withdraw the present petition as the matter has been settled amicably with the respondent and the respondent has made entire payment towards the outstanding amount.

Statement of counsel for petitioner has been recorded separately in this regard.

In view of the same, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn having been settled.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

EX-386/22

RENU SAINI Vs. UNION OF INDIA & Anr.

28.09.2022

Present : Ms. Dimple Dhamija, Ld. Counsel for DH.
Sh. S.K. Puri, Ld. Counsel for UOI/JD no.1 along with
Sh. R.P. Verma, Patwari.

Ld. Counsel for JD No.1 has accepted notice of the execution petition being present in court. Copy of the petition supplied to Ld. Counsel for JD No.1.

Issue notice to JD no.2 on filing of PF and RC **for**

23.11.2022.

ENM be filed by the LAC office on NDOH.

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

CS DJ-932/18

AMC LAW FIRM Vs. GURVINDER SINGH SURI

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Varun Trivedi, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Rahil, Proxy Counsel for defendant.

Pleadings are already complete. Cost imposed upon defendant on 31.01.2020 stands paid on behalf of defendant to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff today.

Affidavit of admission/denial of documents not filed on behalf of defendant.

On the basis of pleadings of parties, following issues are framed :

1. *Whether the plaint is not instituted by duly authorized representative on behalf of plaintiff? OPD*
2. *Whether the plaintiff is entitled to recovery of Rs.6,91,562/-? OPP*
3. *Whether the plaintiff is entitled to interest on the suit amount, if yes, at what rate and for what period? OPP*
4. *Relief.*

No other issue arises or pressed for.

List of witnesses be filed within 15 days by both sides with copy to each other. Evidentiary affidavit be filed with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for PE on **16.12.2022.**

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

LAC-9/19

SRI L.N. GUPTA Vs. UNION OF INDIA

28.09.2022

Present : Ms. Dimple Dhamija, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Sh. S.K. Puri, Ld. Counsel for UOI.
Sh. A.S. Rao, Sr. Law Officer on behalf of DMRC
(Through VC).

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for petitioner that petitioner does not wish to file replication. Pleadings are complete.

On the basis of pleadings of parties, issues are framed as under :

1. *Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhanced compensation. If yes, at what rate? OPP*
2. *Whether the petitioner is entitled for statutory benefits, as prayed for? OPP*
3. *Whether the reference is time barred in view of objections taken by respondent? OPR*
4. *Relief.*

No other issue arises or pressed for.

List of witnesses be filed within 15 days by both sides with copy to each other. Evidentiary affidavit be filed with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for PE on **14.12.2022**.

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

CS DJ717/17M/S UDAY INDIA REFRACTORIES WORKS Vs.
MOHD.NIJAMUDDIN @ MUNNA

28.09.2022

Present : Counsel for plaintiff.

.....

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

CS DJ-1381/17

RAVINDER SHARMA Vs. NAGENDER SHARMA

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Neeraj Sharma, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
None for defendants.

It is already 11.30 am. None has appeared on behalf of defendant since morning despite calls.

It is submitted on behalf of plaintiff that LR of deceased defendant no.2 namely Sh. Sachin Sharma has also died and appropriate application would be moved for substitution of his LRs.

Put up for consideration / purpose fixed **on 16.12.2022.**

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

It is 12.40 pm.

At this stage, Sh. Deepak Acharya, Ld. Counsel for LR of deceased defendant no.1 has appeared and has been apprised about today's proceedings and NDOH.

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

CS DJ-67/19

DINESH KUMAR Vs. PARVEJ AKHTAR

28.09.2022

Present : Sh. Vivek Bhadauria, Ms. Pooja Singh and Sh. Vishal Rikshi Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for plaintiffs along with both plaintiffs.
None for defendant.

Matter is fixed for arguments on pending applications.

It is already 11.35 AM. None has appeared on behalf of defendant despite calls. No adverse order is passed today.

Put up for purpose fixed **on 14.12.2022.**

(Akash Jain)

ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

RCA DJ33/19

CLARENCE RAYMOND DESOUZA Vs. M/S MODI INFOSOL
PVT.LTD.

28.09.2022

Present : Ms. Farheen, Proxy Counsel for appellant.
Sh. Shubham Sohi, Proxy Counsel for respondent.

Matter is fixed for arguments on appeal.

Adjournment requested on behalf of both sides due to
absence of their respective counsels.

Put up again for purpose fixed **on 15.12.2022.**

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

CS DJ643/19FEROZ AHMED Vs. AZIZ AHMED

28.09.2022

Present : Counsel for plaintiff.

.....

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

28.09.2022

Present : Counsel for plaintiff.

.....

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)

28.09.2022

Present : Counsel for plaintiff.

.....

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/28.09.2022 (vg)