

8 MACT 905/24

Narendra Singh Vs. Parveen Alias Bantu

07.04.2025

Present: Sh. Dheeraj Singh, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
None for respondents.

Despite sufficient opportunities, none of the respondents have filed any reply to the claim petition. Opportunity to file WS /reply by the respondents accordingly stands closed.

At this stage, an application for assessing physical disability moved by injured from medical board.

The requisite proforma under form "J" of MACT Rules has furnished.

'Medical Superintendent, Pandit Madan Mohan Malviya Hospital, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi' is directed to examine the injured qua his disability and to send Disability Certificate as per guidelines issued by the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India vide Gazette Notification S. No. 61 dated 05.01.2018.

Copy of this Order be given Dasti to the applicant/petitioner alongwith Form "J". A copy of Form "J" is retained on record.

The Disability Certificate be sent to this Tribunal within 15 days from the date of receipt of this Order in terms of clause 25 of Modified Claims Tribunal Agreed Procedure (as approved by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi).

The next date of hearing be mentioned on the Disability

Certificate.

In case it is found that the claimant is suffering from any disability, in that event three attested copies of the Disability Certificate be also sent along with the Disability Certificate.

Matter be put up for filing of complete criminal record/awaiting disability report/further proceedings on **26.09.2025**.

(Charu Gupta)

PO-MACT-01 (South-East)

Saket Court/New Delhi/07.04.2025(s)

At 11:8 a.m.

At this stage, Sh. Pramod Kumar Sah, Ld. Counsel for insurance company has appeared and moved an application seeking deletion of respondent no.4 i.e. Nodal officer of Insurance company and also challenging the jurisdiction. As regards the challenge to the jurisdiction, it is fairly conceded by Ld.counsel that one of the Branch offices of the Insurance company is located within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Hence, in view of settled law laid down in **Malti Sarkar**, there is no bar of jurisdiction in the present case. Also as regards, deletion of respondent no.4/Nodal officer of the insurance company, the purpose of impleading the Nodal officer is only for the purpose of bringing it to his knowledge the factum of filing of claim petition in a jurisdiction different from the jurisdiction where the accident occurred. As such, request for deletion of

respondent no.4 is declined.

Reply has been filed by the insurance company, however ld. counsel is apprised with the order already passed and the date fixed. He is at liberty to move appropriate application/legal offer, if any.

(Charu Gupta)
PO-MACT-01 (South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/07.04.2025(s)