

**IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE
HYDERABAD**

**PRESENT ::MISS. SHEETAL D.B.,
II Additional Sessions Judge,
Hyderabad.**

Dated this the 2nd Day of March, 2026

**Crl. M.P. No.757 of 2026
in
Crime . No. 157 of 2025
(PS: Kamatipura)**

Between:

**Keriyawale Lavanya Yadav,
W/o. K. Kannaiah Yadav,
Age: 33 years, occ: Private job,
R/o.H.No. 5-6-963/1,
Darussalam road, Hyderabad.**

... Petitioner/Accused No. 2

And

**The State of Telangana,
Through the PS. Kamatipura,
Hyderabad.**

....Respondent/Complainant.

This petition is coming for hearing before me in the presence of Sri M/S. Vempati Mallikarjun Shastry, Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused No. 2 and of Sri. P.J. Rama Krishna, Learned Addl.Public Prosecutor for the State and having heard the matter, this court has made the following:

:: ORDER ::

- 1) This is the third bail petition filed by the Petitioner/Accused No.2 under Section 483 of B.N.S.S seeking to grant regular bail in Cr.No.157/2025 of PS Kamatipura for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2) of BNS, Sec.25(1B)(b) of Arms Act.**
- 2) The brief facts of the case are that, on 09.12.2025 at 11:50 pm, Lw.1/Bheem Bhosle lodged report stating that his brother Arvind Bhosle is working at Prakash Traders Rice shop in Dhoodhbowli and after completion of his work, he used to close the shop approximately at 09.30 pm and returns home via Gollakidki road. And on 09.12.2025 at about 10.30 pm he received a phone call from his friend Bhajarang and informed that his brother Arvind Bhosle is lying in a pool of blood on his Glamor motor cycle at Old Tyres Go-down, T-Junction of Gollakidki & Murali Nagar road and also informed that his brother**

received stab injuries, immediately Lw.1 along with his father reached spot and found that his brother Arvind Bhosle sustained stab injuries o his head, face and he died on the spot and he suspected that a person by name Kannayya Yadav brutally attacked his brother with a knife, stabbed him multiple times , as his brother was having illegal contact with his wife and further stated that about fours years back his brother Arvind Bhosle worked at Trend Laminates, Goshamahal, and his brother was acquainted with a woman by name Lavanya, who also works in the same office and they both have extramarital affair and they had been in live-in relationship, later due to some disputes the said Lavanya filed complaint against his deceased brother at Goshamahal Police Station. Similarly, his sister by name Meena also filed complaint against said Lavanya before PS Attapur, and the matter was settled before elders. For the past few months, said Lavanya and his brother Arvind Bhosle were having disputes, and the said Lavayna threatened his brother to have her because her husband came to know about the illicit relationship between them, as such the said Lavanaya and her husband Kannayya Yadav might have killed his brother, hence requested to take necessary action. Basing on the report, a case was registered in Cr. No.157/2025 of PS Kamatipura for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) of BNS. During the course of investigation as per the seized material objects found at scene of offence, and upon perusal of CC TV footage near the scene of offence, accused no.1 & 2 were apprehended and they were brought to Police station, basing on their confession cum seizure panchanama, police have added section of lawi.e,. 62(1) of BNS & Sec.25(1B)(2) of Arms Act to the existing offence. The Petitioner/A2 was remanded to judicial custody on 11.12.2025, since then they are in jail.

3. Notice served on the learned Addl. Public Prosecutor who filed counter opposing the petition.

4. Heard both sides and perused the material on record.

5. The point for consideration is "Whether the Petitioner/Accused No.2 is entitled to be released on bail as prayed for?"

POINT:

6. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the Petitioners/Accused No.2 that the Petitioner/A2 denies all the allegations levelled against her and there is no specific allegations against the petitioner/A2. He further contended that petitioner/A2 is a private employee, having minor children and that entire story narrated in the complaint is a hoax

and built-up to implicate petitioner/A2 falsely in this case. He further contended that the children of petitioner/A2 are studying in 10th class, their exams are scheduled to be held in March, 2026, as such presence of petitioner/A2 is very much essential to make necessary arrangements to her children. He further contended that as per the remand case diary, police have examined all material witnesses and entire investigation is completed, except filing of charge sheet. He further submitted that petitioner/A2 is ready to cooperate with the investigating agency and are willing to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court. Thus, he prayed to grant bail.

7. Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor argued that the investigation in this case is pending. He stated in the counter that petitioner/A2 and accused no.1 are previously involved in another criminal case i.e., CC.No.5828/2019 in Cr.No.41 of 2019 of PS Charminar and were convicted in the said case. He further contended that petitioner/A2 along with her husband A1 has brutally killed the deceased, which is a grave and extremely violent offence. He further submitted that the investigation in this case is still at crucial stage. He further submitted that if the Petitioner/A2 is released on bail, she may commit similar offences, and may abscond; threaten the witnesses, and tamper with the evidence, thereby affecting the investigation. Thus, he prayed to dismiss the petition.

8. Considering the submissions made by Learned Additional P.P. and counsel for petitioner/A2 and upon a perusal of the material on record, discloses that the petitioner/A2 has been in judicial custody since 11.12.2025. As seen from the case diary, it is evident that the police have examined as many as 20 material witnesses, conducted the scene of offence panchanama, and recorded the confession-cum-seizure panchanama of Petitioner/A2 and A1, and also recovered material objects. Since most of the material witnesses have been examined and the material part of the investigation appears to have been completed pertaining to the Petitioner/A2, and as the police has not placed any material on record to show further progress in the investigation since the dismissal of the earlier bail petition filed by the Petitioner/A2, the further detention of Accused No.2 is deemed unnecessary. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the Petitioner/Accused No.2, subject to conditions. Accordingly, the point is answered.

9) **In the result**, the petition is allowed. The Petitioner/A2 is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a personal bond for Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand

Only) each with two sureties of like sum each, to the satisfaction of the learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hyderabad**, and on the following conditions.

(a) Petitioner/A2 shall attend before **S.H.O., P.S. Kamatipura, Hyderabad**, on every Monday and Friday between 10.00 am and 12.00 noon for a period of two months or till filing of charge sheet, whichever is earlier.

(b) Petitioner/A2 shall not hamper the investigation in any manner nor shall directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any witness so as dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

Typed to my dictation by Copyist, of this Court, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 02nd day of March, 2026.

Sd/-
II ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
HYDERABAD.

//T.C.F.B.O//

Sr. SUPERINTENDENT

Dis.No. _____ /II ASJ/Hyd/2026, dt. _____ -03-2026.

Copy submitted to:

1. The Hon'ble Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.

Copy to :

1. The CJM Hyderabad.
2. The SHO of PS
3. The Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused.