

CR No. 121/2024
Jagmati Devi & Anr. Vs. Chandani

01.06.2026

Present : Mr. Piyush Saini, ld.proxy counsel for Mr. S. Mohit,
ld. counsel for the revisionists (through VC).
Mr. P. Pankaj, ld. counsel for the respondent
alongwith respondent.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the revisionists submits that the main counsel is not available today being suffering from fever and seeks an adjournment.

Ld. Counsel for the respondent strongly oppose the adjournment submitting that number of opportunities have already been availed by the revisionists. He submits that even the impugned order dated 06.03.2024 has become infructuous because by that impugned order ld. Court has only directed the Protection Officer to visit the shared household and file a report and the Protection Officer has already visited the shared household and has also filed his report.

I have heard the submissions.

Ld. Proxy counsel for the revisionists is seeking adjournment on the ground that the main counsel is unwell. Ld. Counsel for the revisionists is directed to file his medical on the next date alongwith an affidavit deposing that he has not appeared in any case before any court today, failing which the revisionists will be liable to pay Rs.25,000/- as costs.

//2//

Matter to now come up on **08.06.2026** for arguments
on the revision petition.

(SANJEEV KUMAR AGGARWAL)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
South East District, Saket Courts,
New Delhi. 01.06.2026 (pb)