

CS DJ No.317/2022
Dr. Gita Jasani Vs. Sanjeev Lall & Anr.

04.04.2024

Present: Counsel Sh. Ninad Dogra for Plaintiff, through VC.
Counsel Sh. Aman Kumar Yadav for Defendant No.1.
Counsel Sh. V.V. Chauhan for Defendant No.2.

Reply to plaintiff's application U/O.6 R.17 CPC is filed by defendant no.1. Copy is already stated to be supplied to Counsel for plaintiff.

Reply to plaintiff's application U/O.1 R.10 CPC is also filed by defendant no.1. Copy is stated to be already supplied to counsel for plaintiff.

Adjournment is sought by counsel for defendant no.2 for filing reply to the aforesaid applications of the plaintiff by stating that the replies are ready, but the same could not be got signed from defendant no.2 as he (counsel) was not well. In the interest of justice, adjournment is granted. Let the Reply be filed by defendant no.2 within two weeks and copy of same be supplied to the counsel for plaintiff.

Put up on 29.07.2024 for filing Rejoinders, if any, and

arguments on the aforesaid applications.

At this stage, the counsel for defendants no.1&2 have stated that the previous cost of Rs.5,000/- each to the defendants no.1&2 has not been paid by the plaintiff till date. The counsel for plaintiff has undertaken that the cost will be paid positively on the next date of hearing.

(Navita Kumari Bagha)
ADJ-02 (South-East), Saket Court
New Delhi/04.04.2024 (Sushil)