

Bail Matter 1575/2026
FIR No. 515/2025
PS KALKAJI
U/S. 103(1)/3(5)/249/61(2) BNS
STATE Vs. MONU KANGER

09.06.2026

Case file taken up today upon application filed U/s. 483 BNSS on behalf of applicant/accused Monu Kanger, for grant of bail.

Present: Mr. Zuned Khan, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Mr. Anil Basoya, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused Monu Kanger.
Complainant Nagesh in person.
IO Inspector Karmveer Singh is present.

Case file perused.

Arguments heard on bail application from both sides.

1. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has sought bail on principle of parity with co-accused Sandeep Bidhuri, who has been granted regular bail by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on 29/05/2026. He argued that the role of applicant/accused is similar or lesser than that of co-accused Sandeep Bidhuri who has been granted bail. Applicant/accused is stated to be in JC since 01/09/2025.

2. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused further argued that the statement of eye-witnesses Sumit and Anil were recorded U/s. 183 BNSS (earlier Section 164 CrPC) during investigation. In the said statement, witness Anil stated that he did not witness

Contd..2.

the incident in which deceased victim Yogender was battered and murdered. It is argued that eye-witness Sumit wrongly stated that applicant/accused Monu Kanger hit victim Yogender with a stick on his head. However, in the mobile video footage captured inside the temple complex when deceased was being battered by offenders, applicant/accused Monu is only seen holding a metal bucket but he did not hit the victim.

3. The bail application has been strongly opposed by Ld. Addl. PP with argument that deceased Yogender (a Sewadar of Kalkajji Mandir) was lynched / murdered by an unlawful assembly of offenders, whose common object was to assault and kill the victim. Ld. Addl. PP submitted that, indeed, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi granted bail to co-accused Sandeep Bidhuri while observing that he was not ascribed any role in actual battering / assault of victim Yogender, by the eye-witnesses. Hon'ble High Court observed that the presence of accused Sandeep Bidhuri was covered by CCTV footage outside Dharamshala / Mandir complex but not inside it when victim Yogender was being battered by offenders. Ld. Addl. PP argued that Hon'ble High Court granted bail to co-accused Sandeep Bidhuri in view of limited role ascribed to him in the offence.

4. It is observed that not only is applicant/accused Monu Kanger ascribed specific role in the sworn statement of witness Sumit Singh recorded U/s. 183 BNSS in infliction of

Contd..3.

Bail Matter 1575/2026

--3--

FIR No. 515/2025

PS KALKAJI

U/S. 103(1)/3(5)/249/61(2) BNS

STATE VS. MONU @ KANGER

injury to victim Yogender with stick, his presence is also visible right next to the victim in mobile video footage of the incident in which victim was brutally battered and murdered. There is no parity of applicant/accused Monu Kanger with co-accused Sandeep Bidhuri, who has been granted by Hon'ble High Court.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence, specific role ascribed to applicant/accused in commission of the offence and his presence at the spot of murder established by mobile video footage of the incident, the Court does not find it fit case for grant of bail to applicant/accused Monu Kanger. The bail application is, therefore, dismissed.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

(VISHAL SINGH)

ASJ-05, South-East District

Saket Courts, New Delhi:09.06.2026