

CS No. 10576/16

M/s Oyster Constro Care Pvt. Ltd. Vs. M/s Gupta Buildign Material Store

01.02.2018

Present: Ms. Shreenidhi, proxy counsel for Sh. Vishvendra Verma,
counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Ruchal Batra, counsel for defendant with AR.

Arguments on application U/o 8 Rule 1 CPC addressed by
respective counsels heard.

Counsel for defendant has submitted that WS could not be
filed in time as certain documents on which defendant is relying was
seized in FIR No. 425/14, PS K. M. Pur. He has drawn my attention to
copy of seizure memo filed by defendant alongwith WS. In view of
submissions made by counsel for defendant and in view of record as
indicated, delay of 9 days in filing of WS is condoned. WS filed by
defendant is taken on record.

Part arguments on application U/o 7 Rule 11 CPC heard.

Be put up for remaining argument on aforesaid application
on **18.04.2018**.

(Sonu Agnihotri)
ADJ-02/SE/Saket/New Delhi
01.02.2018