

Bail Matters 832/2026
STATE Vs. DEEPAK
FIR No. /2026
PS- (Crime Branch-South East)

24.04.2026

Present application has been taken up in terms of Order No. 10 dated 06.03.2026 passed by Ld. Principal District and Sessions Judge, SED, Saket Courts, New Delhi. (Roster)

This is an application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Deepak for grant of anticipatory bail.

Present: Sh. Himanshu Kumar Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused. (through VC)
Sh. Yogendra Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for the State along with IO/HC Ravinder.

1. Vide this order, I shall adjudicate upon the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Deepak. Arguments were heard at length, the gist whereof is discussed hereunder.

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present matter as he has nothing to do with the alleged offences and that the allegations against the applicant/accused are false and fabricated. Ld. Counsel further submitted that there is no evidence on record which could connect the applicant/accused directly or remotely with the present case. Ld. Counsel also submitted that the applicant/accused is ready to join the investigation as and when required by the IO. Ld Counsel thus,

submitted that the applicant/accused ought to be granted anticipatory bail and he is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions imposed upon him.

3. I have heard the arguments addressed by the opposite parties and also perused the record.

4. During the course of arguments, it was brought to the fore that as per the direction of this court order dated 04.04.2026, the applicant/accused joined the investigation on 10.04.2026 and appeared before the IO. Further, as per the report, the applicant/accused was interrogated at length, however, no role of the applicant/accused could be established in the present case and no incriminating material was found against him. It has further been reported that after thorough interrogation, the applicant/accused was relieved from the investigation.

5. In the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the submissions made and further the fact that the custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is not required in the matter, I deem it fit to grant anticipatory bail to accused Deepak on the following conditions:-

a) In the event of arrest, applicant shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the SHO/IO.

b) The applicant shall join the investigation as and when called by the IO.

c) The applicant shall give his address to the IO and if he changes the addresses he shall intimate the same to the IO.

d) If any condition of the anticipatory bail is violated, the State is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of anticipatory bail.

6. Needless to say, the abovementioned observations are predicated solely on the facts as alleged, and brought forth at this juncture, and are not findings on merits, and would also have no bearing on the merits of the case. With these conditions, and observations, the anticipatory bail application stands disposed of.

7. Copy of the order be given dasti.

(Dr. TARUN SAHRAWAT)
ASJ-04 + Spl. Judge (NDPS),
South East District, Saket Court,
New Delhi /24.04.2026