

Bail Matters 693/2026
STATE Vs. ANIL KUMAR
FIR No. 485/2021
PS- (Badarpur)
u/s 302 IPC

19.03.2026

File taken up today on an application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Anil Kumar for grant of interim bail.

Present: Sh. S. K. Kain, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Jayant Tewari, Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/accused. (through VC)
IO/SI Vivek Gautam is present.

1. I heard arguments on the application made on behalf of the applicant/accused Anil Kumar for grant of interim bail.

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that applicant/accused has been languishing in JC since 25.08.2021 i.e. for more than 4 years and 6 months and has never got the bail. Ld. Counsel further submitted that the applicant/accused's mother is an old aged lady and her condition is serious and she is bedridden as she has been ailing from various diseases. Ld. Counsel further submitted that the applicant/accused wants to meet his old aged mother and thus, prayed that applicant/accused ought to be granted interim bail and he is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions imposed upon him by this court.

3. *Per contra* Ld. Addl. PP for the State along with IO vehemently opposed the interim bail application as per law. Ld. Addl. PP further submitted that the allegations against the applicant/accused are heinous in nature and there is strong possibility that if applicant/accused is granted bail, he may jump the bail and induce/threaten the complainant as well as material prosecution witnesses and thus, he ought not to be granted interim bail.

4. Record perused.

5. As per the IO's report, the mother of the applicant/accused namely Omwati had visited the Fortis hospital with the complaints of breathlessness with a history of bidi smoking for more than 40 years. Further, it has been reported that during the visit of house of the applicant/accused, his mother was not found present at home and it was told by the family members that she had gone to a hospital in Rewari for treatment. Further, as per the report, the applicant/accused has sufficient family members to take care of her mother and the presence of the applicant/accused is not urgent at his home.

6. In the aforementioned circumstances, taking into the account the gravity of the offences, the role attributed to the accused herein, and the fact that as per the report, the applicant/accused has sufficient family members to take care of his mother and that there is no urgency as such for any immediate treatment, thus, I am of the considered opinion that interim bail ought not to be granted to the accused Anil Kumar

at this juncture. Accordingly, the present interim bail application is hereby dismissed.

7. In compliance of **Sanjay Singh Vs. State (Govt of N.C.T of Delhi) Writ Petition Criminal 974/2022**, copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent to convey the order to inmate.

8. Order be given dasti.

(Dr. TARUN SAHRAWAT)
ASJ-04 + Spl. Judge (NDPS),
South East District, Saket Court,
New Delhi /19.03.2026