

Bail Matter No. 672/2026
State Vs Sikander Khan
FIR No. 388/2022
PS- NFC
U/s- 324/341/326/34 IPC

13.03.2026

Present: None for the State.
Sh. Sumit Singh and Sh. Aman Upadhyay, Ld.
Counsel for applicant/accused.
IO is absent.

Through concerned Naib Court, IO has sent the reply. Copy for accused has also not been sent. At the time of deciding the bail application, not only court may require the assistance of IO, but also court has right as well as duty to look into the case diary as per section 172 Cr.P.C. however, it has been repeatedly observed that there is tendency amongst some police officials simply to send a reply without bothering to appear in the court and sending the case file. Earlier also number of times, directions have been given to concerned DCP to ensure presence of IO along with case file at the time of hearing of bail but despite that, there is no improvement in the situation. Exercising judicial restraint, this court is not inclined to pass any adverse order against Delhi Police. **Once again, notice be issued to concerned DCP to ensure presence of IO in all bail matters.**

IO be summoned with case diary for NDOH.

List for arguments on 17.03.2026.

Copy of this order be sent to concerned DCP for compliance.

(Ankit Singla)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Special Fast Track Court,
South East District,
New Delhi/13.03.2026