

21.05.2024

Present: Sh. Abhinav Dubey, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Accused persons are not being produced from JC due to
“Lok Sabha Elections to be held on 25.05.2024”

Sh. Pradeep Kumar Panchal, Ld counsel for accused Dev.

Ms. Vaishali, Ld. Remand Advocate for accused Ujjawal.

Sh. Prashant Chaudhary, Ld counsel for accused Aakash.

Sh. Aditya Punia, Sh. Arun Kumar, Sh. Udit Punia and

Ms. Shalu Kataria, Ld counsels for accused Anant Kumar.

Sh. Harshit Sagar, Ld counsel for accused Sumit @ Bala.

IO / SI Ankur Sharma in person.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of accused Anant Kumar.

Part arguments on charge heard.

Ld. counsel for accused Anant Kumar submits that nothing has been recovered from Anant Kumar and it is alleged by the prosecution that SIM of the Anant Kumar was found in the stolen mobile phone but IMEI Number of stolen mobile is 860370057497923 but as per the CDR annexed with the charge-sheet, the IMEI number of mobile phone is 860370057497920, so, IMEI number of stolen mobile phone is not matching with the IMEI number mentioned in the CDR. Except this, there is no other evidence against the accused Anant Kumar.

Ld. Addl. PP for State submits that one of the CCL has been given pardon by the JJB u/s. 306 Cr.PC and in his statement u/s. 306 Cr.PC, he has taken the name of accused Anant Kumar. Further, accused Anant Kumar can be seen in the CCTV footage along-with other accused persons at the spot.

Ld. Addl. PP for State seeks time to clarify regarding the difference between the last digit of IMEI number of the stolen mobile and IMEI number mentioned in CDR.

At request, time granted.

Put up on **15.07.2024** for further arguments on charge.

Issue notice to IO directing him to appear in person on next date of hearing for assistance to the State on the aspect of charge.

(Vipin Kharb)
ASJ-07, South-East
Saket Courts, New Delhi
21.05.2024