

CS DJ No:- 165/23
DEEPIKA MEENA AND ANR Vs. UMESH SINGH
MEENA & ANR

25.07.2023

Present:- Plaintiff no. 1 in person along with Proxy Counsel
Ms. Neelam Sharma.

Both defendants in person along with Ld. Counsel
Sh. Bijay Kumar.

It is seen from the record that memo of appearance as directed to be filed on behalf of defendant no. 2 on last date of hearing is not filed. Let memo of appearance/vakalatnama be filed during course of the day.

Written statement filed on behalf of both the defendants along with an application under Order VIII Rule 1(A) CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement. Copy supplied.

It is pointed out on behalf of both the defendants that plaintiff has referred different property as suit property in paragraph no. 23 than that mentioned in the prayer clause. It is further submitted that plaintiff has also referred to one pen drive/CD in paragraph no. 8 of their plaint, however, said pen drive/CD is neither filed on record nor supplied to defendants.

Adjournment is requested on behalf of plaintiff owing to absence of main counsel for giving necessary clarifications.

Put up for consideration on **19.09.2023**.

(Akash Jain)
ADJ-1 (South-East), Saket Court
New Delhi/25.07.2023