

CS No. 8802/16

Anil Kumar Gupta vs. AIR India Ltd.

01.10.2018

Present: Sh. Animesh Gara, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Arindam Dey, Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff states that he does not want to file reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC. Accordingly, part arguments on the said application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC heard.

In the instant case, mainly two issues are raised as under:-

- (i) On the point of limitation.
- (ii) IATA has not been impleaded as defendant, which is a necessary party.

As regards first issue, it is claimed by the counsel for the defendant that the plaintiff has to file the claim/bills/document for claiming benefits of PAP scheme within three months at the end of the relevant financial year and as such the suit is time barred. Now the counsel for the plaintiff states that he may be given an opportunity to make efforts to place on record the additional documents. Same can be filed along with an appropriate application with advance copy to the opposite counsel.

Put up for further proceedings on **07.01.2019**.

(Naresh Kumar Laka)
ADJ-03/SE/Saket/Delhi/01.10.2018