

04.04.2026

Present: Sh. Rohit Pal, Ld. Proxy Counsel for petitioner.

Notice issued to R1 and R2 have not returned. It is seen that despite direction to the petitioner to furnish details of the driver, same have not been filed. Petitioner has also not filed certified copy of the criminal record. Let name and details of the driver and certified copy of the chargesheet be filed within one month, failing which the interest of the petitioner shall be deemed closed from today till filing of the necessary documents.

Perusal of the claim petition further discloses *prima facie* doubt as to the identity of the deceased. While as per GD details, name of the victim was disclosed as Suresh s/o Kanhaiya Lal, even the postmortem report has been prepared of a victim name Suresh s/o Kanhaiya Lal. The name Birender Maurya appears to have been subsequently suffixed in the postmortem report with name of the father of the victim disclosed as Omprakash Maurya Kanhaiya. The initial most document which is a handwritten letter by the Chief Medical Officer of the hospital at Bareilly, where the victim is claimed to have been taken (at page no.17 of the claim petition), discloses the only name of the victim to be Suresh s/o Kanhaiya Lal. It is pertinent to note that these details have been noted in the apparent presence of another injured victim Moti Ram Maurya s/o Mukut Lal, claimed in the petition to be friend of the deceased victim. All the subsequent documents prepared at the hospital disclosed the name of the victim as Suresh @ Birender Maurya s/o

Omprakash Maurya @ Kanhaiya Lal. The postmortem report of the victim discloses the only name of the father as Omprakash Maurya. It is further seen that the death certificate of the victim has been prepared only in the name of Veerendra Pal with name of the father as Omprakash. Also the copy of Adhar cards of the petitioners disclose name of the victim only as Beerendar Pal or Veerendra Pal but nowhere as Suresh. In fact the name of father of the victim as appearing in the Adhar card of petitioner Nanhi Devi (claimed to be mother of the deceased) is shown as Omprakash Maurya and nowhere as Kanhaiya Lal.

In these circumstances, a serious doubt as to the identity of the victim who died in the accident and the one for whom the present claim has been filed, arises.

Petitioner is directed to clarify and file necessary documents to establish the exact name and identity of the victim who died in the accident and for whose death the present claim has been raised.

Matter be put up on **22.05.2026**.

(Charu Gupta)

Ld.PO, MACT-01(South-East)
Saket Court/New Delhi/04.04.2026