

Bail Matters 299/2026  
STATE Vs. ARBAZ  
FIR No. 355/2025  
PS- (Hazrat Nizamuddin)  
u/s 109(1) BNS

14.03.2026

**File has been taken up today since 02<sup>nd</sup> March, 2026 was declared holiday on account of Holi festival by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order No. 64/G-4/Genl.-I/DHC dated 27.02.2026.**

**Present application has been taken up in terms of Order No. 10 dated 06.03.2026 passed by Ld. Principal District and Sessions Judge, SED, Saket Courts, New Delhi. (Roster)**

**This is an application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Arbaz for grant of regular bail.**

Present: None for the State.  
Sh. Nazim Saleem, Ld. Counsel for the  
applicant/accused. (through VC)

1. The regular Ld. Addl. PP for the State, attached with this court, is on leave today.

2. Vide this order, this Court shall adjudicate upon the regular bail application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sanjay. Arguments were heard at length, the gist whereof is discussed hereunder.

3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that the applicant/accused has been languishing in JC since 25.12.2025. Ld. Counsel further submitted that the applicant/accused has been wrongly implicated without credible

evidence as he is innocent and that the involvement of the applicant/accused has been shown due to mistaken identity and mechanical investigation. Ld. Counsel further submitted that the complainant was pressured by police, leading to the applicant's wrongful inclusion despite the complainant later clarified that the applicant/accused was not involved in the alleged incident. Ld. Counsel further submitted that the applicant/accused is a law abiding citizen of India having deep roots in the society and that he has clean past antecedents. Ld. Counsel thus, submitted that the applicant/accused ought to be granted bail and he is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions imposed upon him by this court.

4. The reply to the application has been placed on record. Copy is stated to have already been supplied.

5. As per the report of IO, on 24.12.2025, a man was assaulted and was found unconscious. The PCR took him to AIIMS Trauma Centre. The injured man was identified as Aas Mohd @ Ashu. It has been reported that one witness Rashid Ahmed stated that Arbaz (the present applicant/accused herein) and another person were drinking near a footpath, argued over money, and called each other "ashu" and "arbaz". During the fight, the applicant/accused stabbed Ashu with a knife and thereafter, Ashu fled towards Nizamuddin and the attacker was identified by the witness Rashid.

6. Further, as per the report, the present applicant/accused is an addict person and under the influence, he stabbed his friend Aas Mohd. Injuries sustained by the

complainant/injured Ashu are grievous in nature. The allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. The possibility of tampering with the evidence and fleeing from criminal justice system also cannot be ruled out.

7. In the aforementioned circumstances, taking into account the gravity of the offence, the role attributed to the accused herein, the fact that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature, and the injuries sustained by the complainant/injured Ashu are grievous in nature and furthermore, the possibility of influencing the material prosecution witness and tampering with the evidence cannot be ruled out, I am of the opinion that bail ought not to be granted to the accused Arbaz at this juncture. Accordingly, the present bail application is hereby dismissed.

8. Needless to say, the abovementioned observations are predicated solely on the facts as alleged, and brought forth at this juncture, and are not findings on merits, and would also have no bearing on the merits of the case. With these conditions, and observations, the regular bail application stands disposed of.

9. In compliance of **Sanjay Singh Vs. State ( Govt of N.C.T of Delhi) Writ Petition Criminal 974/2022**, copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent to convey the order to inmate.

10. Copy of the order be given dasti.

**(Dr. TARUN SAHRAWAT)**  
**ASJ-04 + Spl. Judge (NDPS),**  
**South East District, Saket Court,**  
**New Delhi /14.03.2026**