

19 CS DJ 61-23

SMT. BINDU YADAV Vs. SHRI VINOD YADAV

14.11.2024

Present:- Sh. Arvind Bhatt and Ms. Swastika Singh, Ld.
Counsels for plaintiffs.
Sh. Rajesh Sehrawat, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

1. An application under Order VIII Rule 1A CPC has been filed by the defendant for placing on record the affidavits of two employees of Yadav Goods Carrier, the Proprietor firm of the father of the defendant.

2. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that these are not documents in terms of Indian Evidence Act. Further, after some arguments, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has no objection if the same are taken on record subject to them being proved as per law.

3. In view of the same, **the present application under Order VIII Rule 1A CPC is allowed** and the two affidavits are taken on record.

4. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has argued on his application under Order XII Rule 6 CPC. He further submits that he will file fresh compilation of judgments within one week.

5. List on **20.12.2024**.

(Rahul Bhatia)
DJ-1 (South-East), Saket Court
New Delhi/14.11.2024(sk)