

**IN THE COURT OF RAJAN WALIA, ADDITIONAL SESSIONS
JUDGE, AMBALA. (UID No. HR0-129).**

CIS No.2367 of 2023-BA.

Date of Instt.: 02.09.2023.

Date of Order:08.09.2023.

Waris Verma son of Sushil Verma, aged 20 years, resident of House
NO.3243/12-B, Manmohan Nagar, PS Baldev Nagar, District Ambala.

..... Applicant.

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent.

FIR No.108 dated 05.06.2023.

Under Sections 148, 323, 427, 504, 506 and 509 RWS 149 of IPC.

Police Station: Sector-9, Ambala City.

Application for bail u/s 438 Cr.P.C.

Present: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Counsel for applicant.
Shri Dilip Kumar, PP for the State-respondent.

ORDER:

This order of mine shall dispose of application for bail
moved under Section 438 Cr.P.C. by the applicant-accused.

2. In brief, facts of the case are that complainant Sunila

**Rajan Walia,
ASJ, Ambala
UID No.HR0129**

Waris Verma Versus State of Haryana

2

wife of Pardep Kumar, moved a complaint with the police that on 27.05.2023 at about 11:15 PM, 15-20 including Gurlal Sarwara, Waris, Chean, Goldi Jarot, Honey Verma, Vishal Bhola, Mohit MD, Shelly Ratangarh attacked upon them and snatched her necklace and an ear-ring. Gurlal Sarwar also slapped and misbehaved with her. They also tried to attack children of her elder brother of her husband. Gurlal had also threatened by showing her pistol. He also gave threats on Instagram. They also thrown brick on the house of neighbours. On this, formal FIR was registered.

3 Learned counsel for the applicant-accused argued that applicant-accused has not committed any such offence. Applicant-accused has been falsely implicated in this case. He is ready to join investigation of the case.

4 Learned Public Prosecutor for the State has opposed the bail application stating that the applicant has committed serious offence. For investigation of the case, custodial interrogation of the applicant-accused is required. So, the bail application be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused

Waris Verma Versus State of Haryana

3

the record before me carefully.

6. After perusal of the application and reply of the State-respondent, this court is of the considered view that the applicant-accused is not entitled to concession of the anticipatory bail. He is one of the main accused, who allegedly committed the offence in question and his custodial interrogation is required for investigation of the case. Recovery of weapon/pistol used for threatening the complainant is to be effected in this case. So, without commenting anything on merits of the case and keeping in view the entire circumstances of the case, instant application for anticipatory bail of the applicant-accused is hereby dismissed.

7. Nothing observed here-in this order, which may be construed as opinion on merits of the case.

8. After due compliance, application file be consigned to record room/tagged with the main case file as the case may be.

Pronounced in open court.
08.09.2023

(Rajan Walia),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ambala. (UID No.0129).

Note: All pages of this order have been checked and signed by me.

(Rajan Walia),
ASJ, Ambala. UID No.0129.
08.09.2023.

**Rajan Walia,
ASJ, Ambala
UID No.HR0129**

Waris Verma Versus State of Haryana

4

Present: Shri Rakesh Kumar, Counsel for applicant.
Shri Dilip Kumar, PP for the State-respondent.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate order of even date, the bail application has been dismissed. After due compliance, application file be consigned to record room/tagged with the main case file as the case may be.

Pronounced in open court.
08.09.2023

(Rajan Walia),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ambala. (UID No.0129)

**Rajan Walia,
ASJ, Ambala
UID No.HR0129**