

17. CC No.02/2026
ECIR/STF/33/2025
PS Jamia Nagar
PS Crime Branch Inter State Cell Chanakya Puri
U/s 318(4)/336(2)/336(3)/336(4)/338/340(2)/61(2) BNS 2023
& 3 PMLA 2002
ED Vs. Jawad Ahmed Siddiqui

13.05.2026

Present : Sh. Zoheb Hussain and Sh. Simon Benjamin, Ld. Spl. PP for ED appearing through VC.
Sh. Pankaj Kumar, Assistant Director, ED (through VC).
Accused Jawad Ahmed Siddiqui **produced from JC** (through VC from jail no. 7) **(also representing accused no. 2/Trust)**.
Sh. Vikram Chaudhari, Sr. Advocate (through VC), Sh. Talib Mustafa (through VC), Shri Vishvendra Tomar, Sh. Abhishek Singh and Shri Shubh Mathur, Ld. Counsel for accused Jawad Ahmad Siddiquie.

Today, the matter is fixed for arguments on point of cognizance on behalf of accused.

However, at this stage, two applications have been moved on behalf of accused.

First application is seeking permission to consider documents of sterling quality and unimpeachable character at the stage of pre-cognizance hearing and for seeking directions to ED to supply the list of unrelayed documents. The second application is for seeking passing of appropriate orders (for calling records pertaining to the status of the investigation in the present PMLA case as well as the connected scheduled offence prior to proceeding further, to take on record vital questions of law, to suo moto invoke jurisdiction U/s 436 BNSS and for making reference of substantial question of law, further consider reference under Article 228 etc.)

Copy of the same is supplied to ED in the Court today.

Part submissions heard on behalf of accused.

At this stage, Ld. Spl. PP for ED submits that the aforesaid applications are not maintainable and have been filed only with an intention to

delay the matter. It has also been argued that Section 223 BNSS does not grant any right to the accused to file documents or move any such application but only gives a right of the accused to be heard. He has further argued that the arguments on bail application have already been heard and in view of the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, there is no requirement for the court to hear the accused at the pre-cognizance stage again, and the same is only causing delay in the proceedings before the Court.

On the other hand, Ld. Sr. Advocate Sh. Vikram Chaudhary for accused submits that the applications have been filed U/s 223 (1) BNSS and the accused has a right to file the documents of sterling quality. Ld. Counsel for the accused vehemently opposes the submissions made on behalf of ED.

At this stage, Ld. Spl. Pp for ED seeks time to file reply to aforesaid applications.

In view of aforesaid submissions, ED is directed to file reply to aforesaid applications before the NDOH with advance copy to opposite counsel.

Accused be produced for rehnumai through VC on 26.05.2026.

Accused be produced before the court through VC on 06.06.2026.

Put up for arguments and consideration on aforesaid applications on **06.06.2026 and 08.06.2026.**

Order dasti.

[Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan]
ASJ-02, South-East/Saket/Delhi
13.05.2026(m)