

M/s Ashim & Co. etc. vs. State of Haryana and anr.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Khanna, counsel for the revisionist.
Sh. Partap Singh, PP for respondent No.1/State.

In pursuance of notice, ld. P.P. put in appearance on behalf of the respondent No.1, whereas notice issued to respondent No.2 received back unserved. Ld. P.P. has stated that State/respondent no.1 has no role in this case, as dispute is between the petitioner/revisionist and respondent No.2. On the other hand, ld. counsel for the revisionist contended that the revisionist has nothing to do with the offence in question and he was not a signatory to cheque. Besides this, he also contended that in one of such similar complaint, the revisionist was not summoned by the concerned court, therefore, the revisionist has been wrongly summoned in the present complaint. He thus, prayed that till the disposal of revision petition, proceedings before the ld. trial Court be stayed.

Heard. The case is adjourned to 21.11.2022 for **consideration**.
A fresh notice of the revision along with application for stay be again issued to respondent no.2 (complainant) for the date fixed.

Date of order: 26.10.2022
harit verma

(Rajesh Sharma)
UID HR0172
ASJ, Fbd.