

Bail application- Milan Mandal  
SC No. 515/25  
FIR No. 92/25  
PS Budh Vihar

**20.11.2025**

**One of the regular Stenographer is on leave today. Ld. Regular Addl. PP for State is on leave today.**

**File is taken up today on bail application.**

Present : Ld. Subst. Addl. PP Ms. Promila Singh for State.  
Sh. Vineet Panchal, Ld. counsel for accused/applicant.  
IO Insp. Advash in person.

1. This is an application u/s 483 BNSS moved on behalf of application/accused Milan Mandal for grant of regular bail.
2. Ld counsel for accused argued on the lines of bail application and submitted that the marriage between application and deceased was a love marriage which was solemnized in the month of November 2024. The marriage ceremony was held at applicant's native village in West Bengal, where both families stayed together for around one to one and a half month in harmony and no demand of dowry or discord was ever raised during that period and also after that period till the date of incident. It is further stated that present case has been registered alleging that the applicant's wife committed suicide by hanging herself due to demand of dowry by her husband and his family members.
3. Ld counsel for application/accused further submits that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is in judicial custody from last eight months. It is stated that there is no iota of evidence showing that the

applicant/accused ever subjected his wife to cruelty or harrassment in connection with any demand of dowry. It is further stated that applicant was taken into custody on 01.03.2025 from Dr. BSA Hospital but the arrest memo is prepared only on 03.03.2025. It is further stated that the present FIR is registered merely on the basis of statement of the mother of the deceased, without any independent witness or corroborative material. It is further stated that there is an unexplained delay of three days in lodging the FIR which clearly shows that the present complaint is an afterthought and lodged after due deliberation and consultation, thereby casting serious doubt on its genuineness. It is further stated that parents of the deceased in their respective statements dated 03.03.2025 have categorically stated that neither the applicant nor his family members ever demanded any dowry from them before or at the time of marriage. It is further stated that there was never any prior complaint or alligations of cruelty or dowry demand at any point of time by the deceased or her relatives prior to this unfortune incident. It is further stated that applicant was not present at home when the unfortune occurance of said incident took place. It is further stated that only allegation of physical cruelty on the date of incident i.e. that the applicant allegedly slapped the deceased while demanding a scooty, is unsupported by medical evidence as no external injuries were found in MLC or post mortem report. It is further stated that the investigation has been completed and chargesheet has already been filed before the court, therefore, no further custodial detention of applicant is required. It is further stated that two small financial transaction from the complainant to applicant's uncle's account

were only repayment of personal loan of Rs. 5,000/- taken by the mother of deceased from the applicant at the time when the mother of deceased was leaving from West Bengal to Kerala after their marriage. It is further stated that there is no likelihood of the applicant tampering with the evidence or influencing any witness as the entire investigation is complete. It is further stated that there is no direct or circumstantial evidence linking the applicant to the act of suicide. It is stated that applicant is a young individual having clean antecedents and his continued custodial detention would cause irreparable harm to his personal or social life. It is prayed that he may be released on bail.

4. Ld. Subst. Addl. PP for the State and IO strongly opposed the bail stating that applicant/accused has committed a serious offence. Ld. Subst. Addl. PP for the State further submits that on 01.03.2025 a PCR call vide DD no. 4A was received from Dr. BSA Hospital regarding the death of one lady Arjana who was brought dead from hanging by her husband Milan. It is stated that since deceased was got married with the accused Milan Mondal 4-5 months ago, intimation was given to area SDM who recorded the statement of mother of the deceased on 03.03.2025. It is further submitted that mother of deceased in her statement before the Executive Magistrate categorically alleged that the accused and his other family members used to raise demand of scooty and used to mentally harass the deceased for dowry. It is further submitted that during the investigation complainant provided proof/screenshot of money transfer to one Pintu Mondal, proof of marriage and dowry bill. It is also stated that on 28.02.2025, the mother of deceased received a

call from the deceased where she was crying and told her about cruelty by her husband Milan and demand of scooty. Ld. Subst. Addl. PP for the State further submitted that statement of the witnesses recorded during the investigation supported the case of prosecution. It is further submitted that applicant/accused may threaten or influence the witnesses and tamper with the evidence if released on bail at this stage. It is further submitted that first bail application of the applicant/accused was dismissed on 13.06.2025 and there is no material change in circumstance after dismissal of first bail application on merits.

5. Submissions heard. Record perused.

6. The perusal of the record shows that the accused has been involved in the commission of offence of dowry death of his newly married wife who committed suicide by hanging. The perusal of the statement of the complainant i.e. mother of the deceased shows that there are clear allegations against the accused that he used to harass and torture his deceased wife by making demand for scooty. The trial is at initial stage. Charges are yet to be framed. The first bail application of applicant/accused was dismissed on 13.06.2025 and there is no substantial change of circumstance after dismissal of first application. Considering the overall facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to allow the bail application of the applicant/accused at this stage. Accordingly, application is disposed off as dismissed.

7. In view of the recommendations of Rules Committee under Section 523 of BNSS 2023 with respect to the practice directions issued by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Writ Petition No. W.P.©1082/2022 Subhash Chakma Vs. Union of India & Ors., the

applicant can avail the free legal aid facility from District Legal Services Authority (North-West) at Room No. 405, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, District Court Rohini, Delhi-110085. Applicant may contact the authority on phone number 011-27555536 or through mail at e-mail ID [northwest-dlsa@nic.in](mailto:northwest-dlsa@nic.in)

8. Observation made herein above shall not affect the merits of this case in any manner.
9. Application stands disposed off.
10. File be put up on the date already fixed i.e. **18.12.2025**.

**(MUNEESH GARG)**  
**ASJ-03 (NORTH-WEST)**  
**ROHINI COURTS**  
**DELHI/20.11.2025**