

21 CS DJ 348/24 Jaswant Singh And Anr Vs. GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

02.04.2026

Present : Sh. N.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
Sh. Bhuvan Jayant, Ld. Counsel for defendant/DDA.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 3/SDM.
Sh. Bhaskar Dongal, Ld. Counsel for BDO.
Sh. Manoj Kumar, Patwari, Central North on behalf of SDM,
Shalimar Bagh.
Sh. Padam Singh, BDO

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 3/SDM Shalimar Bagh submits that presently there is no designation as SDM, Saraswati Vihar and there has been some further bifurcation and division because of which the record in question would be in the custody of the present SDM, Mundka. It is submitted that the failure of defendant no. 3 to comply with the order was not deliberate.

In view of the submission, the direction of attachment of salary of SDM and of the BDO is recalled. It is directed that the affidavit in question, as per order dated 12.08.2025, shall now be filed by SDM, Mundka by the next date. This direction and copy of order dated 12.08.2025 shall be communicated to the SDM, Mundka by Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 3.

There is no requirement of BDO to appear in person before this court.

Ld. Counsel for defendant/DDA submits that DDA has subsequently been impleaded as a party to the suit and that DDA has a subsisting right to file written statement. He submits that DDA will file written statement along with an application for condoning delay.

-: 2 :-

The said application, as and when filed, shall be decided on its own merit. It is clarified that the observation of defence of defendant having being struck off shall relate to the then defendant no.4 and not to DDA.

SDM, Mundka shall also file detailed affidavit in terms of order dated 29.11.2025.

Renotify on 12.05.2026.

(Ashish Aggarwal)
District Judge-III
North-West District,
Rohini Courts, Delhi
02.04.2026