

18 CS DJ 348/24
Jaswant Singh and Anr.
Vs. Govt. of NCT of Delhi
Revenue Department

29.11.2025

Present : Sh. N.K. Singh, Ld. counsel for plaintiffs.
Sh. Bhuvan Jayant, Ld. counsel for defendant/DDA.

Vakalatnama is filed by Ld. Counsel for plaintiffs. It be taken on record.

An application under Order 8 Rule 1 read with Section 151 of CPC and Section 5 of Limitation Act filed on behalf of defendant no. 3 is pending. I have gone through the application. The application is cryptic. There is extraordinary delay in filing of written statement. Let a detailed affidavit be filed by the SDM Kanjhawala giving specific dates and explaining the delay in filing of the written statement. Supporting documents / notings shall also be filed in support of the affidavit.

Defendant no. 4 has also not filed written statement. Defence of defendant no. 4 has already been struck off on 25.09.2024.

SDM Saraswati Vihar and the concerned BDO have not filed affidavit in terms of previous order. No explanation for failure to file the affidavit has been furnished.

Let salary of SDM Saraswati Vihar and the concerned BDO of one month be attached. Warrants of attachment be issued in this behalf.

Renotify for arguments on pending applications i.e. application under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 of CPC, application under Order 8 Rule 1 of CPC and application under Order 7 Rule 11 of CPC, both filed by defendant no. 3, on 02.04.2026.

(Ashish Aggarwal)
District Judge-III
North-West District,
Rohini Courts, Delhi
29.11.2025