

Bail Application No. 4025/17
State vs. Mukesh @ Ramesh @ Sachin
FIR No. 674/2017
PS Sultan Puri
U/s 341/323/308/354-B/34 IPC
& Sec. 12 of POCSO Act

08.01.2018

Present: Ms. Raj Rani Kataria, Ld. Additional PP for the State.
Sh. Vivek Aggarwal, Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
Reply to the bail application has already been filed.
Arguments heard. Reply to the bail application perused.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case because of previous litigations between the parties and is in J.C since 02.12.2017. He further submitted that investigation in the matter has already been completed and co-accused Kanhiya has already been granted bail and as such, it is prayed that he be also released on bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature and bail applications be dismissed.

Considering the period of custody of applicant/accused as well as previous litigation between the parties as well as the fact that co-accused is already granted bail, the applicant/accused is enlarged on bail on his furnishing a bail bond in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount, to the satisfaction of Ld. M.M./Link M.M./duty M.M.

Bail application stands disposed of.

Copy of this order be given dasti, as requested.

(Amit Kumar)
ASJ-01/Special Court-POCSO
NW/Rohini/Delhi
08.01.2018

