

SC No. 394/18
FIR No.850/2016
U/S 353/332/307/323 of IPC
PS Keshav Puram
State vs Sahil Chopra

09.01.2019

Present: Sh. Pankaj Kumar Ranga, Additional Public Prosecutor for State.
Accused Sahil Chopra on bail with Id. Counsel Sh. Manoj Rana.
MHC(M) HC Kamlesh is present.
IO/SI Suraj Pal is present.
HC Hans Ram Meena is present.

The matter is fixed for evidence of the prosecution.

On calling the case MHC(M), IO/SI Suraj Pal and HC Hans Ram Meena have come present.

MHC(M) HC Kamlesh has stated that he is recently posted in the said PS and he is not done any entry in register no. 19 relating to this case.

IO/SI Suraj Pal is present. Perusal of the list of witnesses reveals that at the time of preparing of list of witnesses the name of MHC(M) was not mentioned. IO has told that one car of accused was seized and present MHC(M) has told to the court that he did not make entry in the Register no. 19 . IO is a negligent person. He did not mention the name of MHC(M) in the list of witnesses. IO, SHO and ACP Indrawati are also a negligent persons. They failed to go through the charge-sheet prior to the signing of the same.

This court has recorded the statement of IO SI Suraj Pal and he has given the statement as under:-

ON SA

I am the investigating officer in the present case. I have filed the charge-sheet .

Q. Why did you not mention the name of MHC(M) in the list of witnesses as presently MHC(M) namely HC Kamlesh has told to the court that he did not make any entry in the register no. 19, relating to this case, as he is recently posted?

Ans. I did not cite the name the then MHC(M) in the list of witnesses, since I thought that the present MHC(M) may produce Register no. 19/21 during evidence of the prosecution, to prove the entry regarding deposition of case property.

Q. Can you tell the name of MHC(M) who had done the entry in register no. 19 relating in this case, who may be summoned?

Ans. HC Vijay was MHC(M) at that time.

Q. Can you tell the number and place of posting of HC Vijay?

Ans. I can tell only after phoning him , I may be given time.

At this stage, this witness is allowed to make call to Mr. Vijay so that concerned MHC(M)

HC Vijay may be called upon for the next date of hearing.

I have contacted with HC Vijay , his number is 733NW and place of posting is PS Bhalswa Dairy.

Sd/-

RO &AC

sd/-

(Pawan Kumar Matto)
Special Judge (NDPS)/
Additional Sessions Judge (N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi.09.01.2019

Thus, the statement given SI Suraj Pal, it is clear that IO is a negligent person , he has failed to mention the name of MHC(M) in the list of witnesses, who had done the relevant entry in register no. 19. IO had tendered his apology. He is directed to be careful in future.

IO has written the name of DO HC Hansh Ram Meena in the list of witnesses whereas the name of DO is HC Hans Ram Meena. SHO/Inspector Ajmer Singh, ACP Indrawati are negligent person and they fail to go through the charge-sheet prior to the signing of the same.

Let DO HC Hans Ram Meena be examined.

DO HC Hans Ram Meena is examined as PW 1. he has been cross examined by Id. Counsel for the accused. His cross examination is concluded. He is discharged.

Process issued to ASI Hans Raj received back with the report served. IO has submitted that he has communicated to ASI Hans Raj about the pendency of the present case. IO has stated that ASI Hans Raj is busy in MTS examination. Since, ASI Hans Raj has failed to appear before this court despite of having knowledge of the present case fixed for today . So, issue warrants of arrest against ASI Hans Raj to be executed through SHO,

Ld. Addl. PP for state has submitted that PW IO/SI Suraj Pal may be discharged as he is to be examined after the examination of other witnesses of prosecution. Accordingly, prosecution witness IO/SI Suraj Pal is discharged being unexamined.

No other PWs present.

PWs be summoned through SHO concerned. IO is also called upon for the next date of hearing.

Matter stands adjourned for evidence of the prosecution.

To come up on 16.05.2019.

(Pawan Kumar Matto)
Special Judge (NDPS)/
Additional Sessions Judge (N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi.09.01.2019

SC No. 394/18
FIR No. 850/16
U/s. 186/353/323/332/307 of IPC
P.S. Keshav Puram
State Vs. Sahil Chopra

09.01.2019
At 2:15 PM.

Present : Shri P.K. Ranga, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
 ASI Hans Raj in person.

The matter is already adjourned in the pre-lunch session for 16.05.2019 for remaining evidence of the prosecution and in the pre-lunch session this witness did not appear, so, this court had ordered for issuance of warrants of arrest.

At 2:15 PM , this witness has filed an application stating therein that he was on duty for the recruitment of MTS, in the New Police Line, Kingsway Camp and he got late in coming to the court and prayed for cancellation of warrants of arrest.

Since, this witness was served with the process, so, he was supposed to maintain the punctuality. This PW is a negligent person and he failed to maintain the punctuality, who was supposed to appear at 10:00 AM but he did not come at time in pre-lunch session. Now, he has come for cancellation for warrants of arrest ordered to be issued against him. This court has recorded the undertaking of this witness separately, wherein he has undertaken to appear on the next date of hearing at 10:00 AM.

In view of the application, moved by this prosecution witness and undertaking given by this witness, the previous order vide which the warrants of arrest is ordered to be issued against this prosecution witness, is recalled. He is directed to maintain

punctuality and to appear in the court on the next date of hearing at 10:00 AM.

(Pawan Kumar Matto)
Special Judge (NDPS)
Additional Sessions Judge (N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/09.01.2019