

State Vs. Salim
PS: Kanjhawala
FIR no. 297/17

20.01.2018

Present: Sh. Himanshu Garg, Ld Addl. PP for the State.

Accused in JC with counsel Sh. A.H. Pasha.

I have heard arguments on the charge.

Having considered the material available on record. I find that there is prima facie sufficient material on record for framing of charge for the offences punishable **u/s 366/34 IPC, 328/34 IPC, 376D IPC and u/s 506/34 IPC**. Charge framed accordingly, to which accused pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Ld counsel for the accused submits that second bail application of accused is pending for disposal. He submits that accused is in JC since 27.07.2017 and co-accused namely Sunita who was earlier absconding has already been granted anticipatory bail on 12.01.2018 by Ld ASJ (Special Judge, CBI). He further submits that since other two accused have also been granted bail and case of the accused is at the parity.

I have considered the facts and circumstances, having considered the period of detention and the fact that co-accused have already been granted bail, accused is granted bail on furnishing personal bond and surety bond in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with following conditions:-

I. Accused will not contact the prosecutrix or any other witness of the case in any manner whatsoever,

II. He will attend the trial regularly.

III. In case change of address accused will notify to the court immediately as well as address of the surety in case of change.

Application is disposed of accordingly.

Put up now this case for recording PE on **21.04.2018**.

Prosecutrix and IO be summoned.

Ahlmad is directed to note the fact that accused is granted bail and intimation be also sent in this regard to the jail authority.

**(Shailender Malik)
ASJ-Special Fast Track Court
North-West, Rohini Courts
Delhi/20.01.2018**