

**IN THE COURT OF MS. NISHA SAHAY SAXENA
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE :
NORTH-WEST : ROHINI COURTS : DELHI.**

CNR No. DLNW01-010117-2024
SC No. 819/24
State Vs. Vikas Garg etc
FIR No. 508/24
PS : Subhash Place
U/s 309(4)/311/61(2)/3(5) BNS

Order on bail application of accused Danish Peter

19.03.2026

This is an application u/s 483 BNSS moved on behalf of the applicant / accused Danish Peter for grant of bail. Reply to the said application has already been filed by the IO along with previous involvement of applicant / accused.

Arguments have been addressed by Sh. P. K. Samadhiya, Ld Additional Public Prosecutor for State, Sh. Rahul Parasar, Ld. counsel for complainant and Sh. Himanshu Goel, Ld. counsel for applicant / accused.

Perusal of the record reveals that the applicant / accused Danish Peter did not appear before the court on 19.12.2025, which resulted in issuance of NBWs against him. Subsequently, the application seeking cancellation of NBWs against him was dismissed on 30.01.2026 and he was taken into custody.

In the present application, it is submitted that the applicant / accused was arrested by the police of PS Sector 31, Faridabad, Haryana on 02.12.2025 and was sent to JC by the concerned court and was released in the said case only on 18.01.2026. On the next date fixed before this court i.e.

30.01.2026, applicant / accused appeared and was taken into custody. It is submitted that non-appearance of the applicant / accused before this court on 19.12.2025 was not intentional but due to the reason that he was lodged in JC in Faridabad jail.

Ld. Prosecutor duly assisted by Ld. counsel for complainant has opposed the present bail application submitting that the applicant / accused is in the habit of flouting the terms and conditions of bail and is involved in number of other criminal cases. It is further submitted that there is every likelihood of the applicant / accused tampering with the prosecution evidence and threatening the prosecution witnesses. It is prayed that the present bail application moved on behalf of the applicant / accused needs to be considered afresh taking into consideration his subsequent conduct and attitude qua non-compliance of terms and conditions of bail.

Heard and perused the record. As per the report filed by the IO SI Neeraj Kumar, the applicant / accused is involved in 14 other criminal cases registered against him at Faridabad. On 30.01.2026, IO SI Neeraj has reported that the applicant / accused was not residing at the given address.

As per the record, after grant of bail by the Ld. Predecessor of this court, the applicant / accused has not been appearing regularly before the court and the main reason for his absence is due to his involvement and lodging in JC in other cases outside Delhi, due to which no prosecution witness could be examined despite the fact that the charge was framed in the present case on 23.04.2025.

Seeing the gravity of the offences allegedly

committed by the applicant / accused, the fact that he is a habitual offender involved in number of other criminal cases and since there is every likelihood of the applicant / accused tampering with the prosecution witnesses, I am not inclined to release the applicant / accused on bail at this stage.

Accordingly, the present bail application is dismissed. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for being conveyed to the applicant / accused.

As prayed, a copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. counsel for applicant / accused.

Now to come up on the date already fixed i.e. 10.04.2026.

(Nisha Sahay Saxena)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (NW)
Rohini Courts, Delhi (k)