

24.11.2025

Present: Sh. Ritik Duggal, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Sumit Garg, Ld. Counsel for defendant nos. 1 to 4.
Sh. Jagdish Dewakar, Ld. Counsel for defendant nos. 5 to 9.
None for defendant no. 10.

Counsel for defendant no. 1 to 4 submitted that WS is filed on behalf of D-1 to 4 through online today itself. However, same is not reflected on CIS.

Counsel for D-1 to D-4 is advised to contact the filing section as WS is not reflecting. He is also directed to supply advance copy of WS to plaintiff.

Further, Counsel for D-1 to D-4 submitted that he has filed the WS on basis of certified copy of amended plaint. However, he has not received the copy of amended plaint till today. To avoid the controversy, counsel for plaintiff is directed to supply copy of amended plaint to counsel for D-1 to D-4.

Counsel for D-5 to D-9 submitted that WS is filed on 26.05.2025 through online. Hard copy supplied.

Counsel for D-5 to D-9 submitted that he has supplied the copy of WS to the plaintiff. However, counsel for plaintiff submitted that same is not supplied to him.

To avoid the controversy, Counsel for D-5 to D-9 is directed to supply the copy of WS filed on behalf of D-5 to D-9 to plaintiff during the course of the day.

Perusal of file shows that one application u/o 22 rule 4 CPC for impleading LR of D-10 is still pending. Counsel for plaintiff seeks some time to argue on the said application.

Put up for completion of pleadings/admission/denial of documents as well as for framing of issues on **09.03.2026**.

(RAKESH KUMAR V)
DJ-04/North-West
Rohini Courts/Delhi
24.11.2025