

CS No. 579277/16

28.05.2018

Present: None for plaintiff.

Defendant in person.

One regular stenographer of the court is on leave today.

At this stage, proxy counsel for plaintiff has appeared and has filed replication to the WS and replication with respect to the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC and also one replication with respect to the application under Order 37 Rule 5 CPC. On 15.11.2017, reply to the application under Order 38 Rule 5 CPC was filed. It seems that inadvertently wrong provisions have been mentioned as Order 37 Rule 5 CPC in place of Order 38 Rule 5 CPC. Plaintiff is directed to clarify the same. Copy of replications supplied. At this stage, Sh. Mohit Singhal, counsel for defendant has appeared. Reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 11 not filed. On joint request, put up for reply arguments on the said application and also for consideration on other applications on 12.09.2018.

(Manish Gupta)
ADJ-04(NW)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/28.05.2018