

Ct. Cases / 43/2025
Tata Power Delhi Distribution Limited Vs. GEETA

11/12/2025

Present : Sh. Satish Kumar, AR of the complainant company along with Sh. Vaibhav Gupta, Manager(Legal) for the complainant company.

Proposed accused is yet to be summoned.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

CW-1 Sh. Rohit Shahi and CW-2 Sh. Satish Kumar have been examined by the complainant in pre-summoning evidence in support of the complaint filed under section 135 r/w section 151 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

CW-1 Shri Rohit Shahi is working as Sr. Officer with the complainant company and was one of the raiding team members who had inspected the premises in question. CW-1 has relied upon the documents exhibit Ex.CW1/1 to Ex.CW1/6.

CW-2 Sh. Satish Kumar is the Authorized Representative authorized by the complainant company/TPDDL, who has relied upon the complaint and the documents Ex.CW2/1 to Ex.CW2/3.

At the time of joint inspection by the raiding team of TPDDL, accused was found indulged in theft of electricity by direct theft of electricity by direct illegal tapping of phase & neutral from TPDDL Tyco Box at Pole No.505-25/15/4B by means of illegal wires which was further connected to the user's internal wiring to run the entire connected load. The accessible part of the illegal wires were removed and seized from site. No meter/connection was found at the site. The accused Geeta had committed direct theft of electricity and thereby she had caused

Contd.....

- : 2 :-

wrongful loss to complainant and wrongful gain to herself.

Thus, there are sufficient grounds to proceed against the accused Geeta for the offence punishable U/s 135 of the Electricity Act 2003. Accordingly, ***the accused Geeta be summoned for 30.01.2026 on filing of PF through complainant company.***

(PRASHANT KUMAR)
ASJ (Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/ 11/12/2025