

13
CS DJ
840/22
MEENA JAIN
Vs.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR LAND SALES
BRANCH(ROHINI)

12.04.2023

Present : Sh. Vaibhav Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Vishal Soni, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 4
and applicant Sh. Hari Om Jindal.
None for the other defendants.

WS already filed by the defendant no. 4 along with
reply to the application u/o 39 rule 1 and 2 CPC.

An application u/o 39 rule 4 CPC is also filed by the
defendant no. 4. Another application u/o 1 rule 10 CPC has been
filed on behalf of the applicant Sh. Hari Om Jindal. Copies are
stated to be supplied.

However, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that
he has not received the copies. Another set was filed by the Ld.
Counsel on record which is supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the
plaintiff in the court today.

Summons issued to the defendant no. 5 through
speed post received back with the report that there is no such
person at that address. However, the summons issued through the
ordinary process received back with report that his employee was
found there who stated that there was no fixed time for the
visiting of defendant no. 5. **Let fresh summons be issued to the
defendant no. 5 on that address to be served by way of
affixation.**

-: 2 :-

Further, summons be issued to the defendant no. 1, 2 and 3 not received back. Fresh summons be issued to them on filing of PF within 07 days from today for the NDOH.

Now, to come up for reply and arguments on the application u/o 39 rule 4 CPC and 1 rule 10 CPC on **01.05.2023**. Advance copy of the reply be supplied to the opposite party atleast 15 days prior to the next date of hearing.

As an ex-parte stay has been granted in this matter, the plaintiff is directed to argue on the NDOH on the above mentioned applications, failing which the stay shall be vacated.

Interim order to continue, till the next date of hearing.

(RUCHIKA SINGLA)
ADJ-03 (N/W)
Rohini Courts :Delhi/12.04.2023