

5  
CS DJ  
840/22  
MEENA JAIN  
Vs.  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR LAND SALES  
BRANCH(ROHINI)

22.07.2024

Present : Sh. Saiyam Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.  
Sh. Jatin Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 1/ DDA.  
Sh. Sunil Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 3/ Sub-  
Registrar's office-VI.  
None for the other defendants.

An application u/o 9 rule 7 CPC is filed on behalf of the defendant no. 1. Copy supplied. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff seeks time to file reply. Allowed.

An application u/o 1 rule 10 CPC filed by the applicant Sh. Hari Om Jindal is pending disposal. On the LDOH, the parties were directed to clarify as to whether the Sale Deed was executed in his favour or not. However, he has not appeared.

Be awaited till 02.00 pm.

(RUCHIKA SINGLA)  
DJ-03 (N/W)  
Rohini Courts :Delhi/22.07.2024

-: 2 :-

**At 02.00 pm**

Present: None.

The applicant or the other defendants have not appeared despite wait since morning. It was clearly mentioned in the last ordersheet that they were to clarify as to whether the Sale Deed was executed or not. However, the same has not been done. In view of the same, the application u/o 1 rule 10 CPC filed by the applicant Sh. Hari Om Jindal is dismissed in default.

Now, to come up for reply/ arguments on the application u/o 9 rule 7 CPC filed by the defendant no. 1 in the morning session for 04.11.2024. **Advance copy of the reply be supplied to the opposite party atleast 15 days prior to the next date of hearing.**

**(RUCHIKA SINGLA)**

**DJ-03 (N/W)**

**Rohini Courts :Delhi/22.07.2024**