

ANUJ KUMAR Vs. SANDEEP KUMAR AND ORS

15.01.2026

Present: None for petitioner.

Sh. Aman Aggarwal, Sh. Surender Kumar and Sh. Prashant Chaudhary, Ld. Counsels for R1 and R2.

Sh. R. P. Mathur, Ld. Counsel for R3/insurance.

Matter is for exparte evidence. However, Ld. Counsel for R1 and R2 has moved an application for recalling the exparte order and WS on record. Another application for condonation of delay has also been filed.

Copy supplied to Ld. Counsel for R3. Extra copy is also placed on record for petitiioer. Petitioner is at liberty to collect the same.

Considering the submissions made in the application, the applications are allowed. The order of proceedings exparte and right to file reply on behalf of R1 and R2 is set aside. WS is taken on record.

Ld. Counsel for R3 also prayed for setting aside exparte order. The reply filed by R1 and R2 shows that vehicle was new vehicle having valid policy and the driver of offending vehicle was also having valid DL and the vehicle was yet to be sold to the customer. Therefore, the prayer of insurance company is also allowed and insurance company is directed to come with legal offer/reply by NDOH.

List on 27.02.2026.

(Vikram)
DJ-1+ MACT, N/W, Rohini Courts,
15.01.2026